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#### Abstract

This paper deals with a flexible multi-station manufacturing system modelled by re-entrant queueing model. Our model incorporates classical queueing systems with exponential service times and controlled arrival process under a priority service discipline. The system is decomposed into $N$


fundamental multi-productive stations and $2 N-1$ classes, a part follows the route fixed by the system, where each one is processed by $N$ stations requiring $2 N-1$ services. We assume that there is an infinite supply of work available, so that there are always parts ready for processing step 1. Our purpose in this paper is to present a detailed theoretical and simulation analysis of this priority multi-station manufacturing system.
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## 1 Introduction

A manufacturing system can be defined as a combination of humans, machinery, and equipment that are bound by a common material and information flow. The materials input to a manufacturing system are raw materials and energy. Information is also input to a manufacturing system, in the form of customer demand for the system's products. The outputs of a system can likewise be divided into materials, such as finished goods and scrap, and information, such as measures of system performance (Chryssolouris, 2006).

These sort of systems have been widely studied, Tiwari and Tiwari (2014) gave a novel methodology for sensor placement for the multi-station manufacturing processes so that the dimensional variation in the manufactured product will be reduced, Sangwan (2013) presented a criteria catalogue and a multi-criteria decision model for the evaluation of manufacturing systems based on environmental aspects of the manufacturing system, Fazlollahtabar and Saidi-Mehrabad (2013) developed a mathematical model to assess the reliability of machines and automated guided vehicles in flexible manufacturing systems, Polotski et al. (in press) analysed a failure prone manufacturing system producing two part types and requiring a setup for switching from one part type to another.

In recent years, queueing theory constitutes a powerful tool in modelling and performance analysis of many complex systems, such as production/flexible manufacturing systems, computer networks, telecommunication systems, call centres, and service systems. Many researchers focused on analysing these different machining systems, let us cite for instance, Jain (2013), Jain et al. (2013, 2014) and references therein.

Stability and performance analysis of multi-class queueing networks is by nowadays an agreeably-researched field. Some preeminent papers in this research field are Harrison (1988), Chen and Mandelbaum (1991), and Kumar (1993). Some notorious contributions with respect to the stability analysis can be summarised in Rybko and Stolyar (1992), Baccelli and Foss (1994), Dai (1995), Bramson (2008), Chen and Yao (2001), Meyn (2008) and Gurvich (2014).

Queueing networks with product form have been greatly studied, Visschers et al. (2011) considered a memoryless single station service system with many servers, authors showed that there exist assignment probabilities under which the system has a product form stationary distribution, and obtained explicit expressions for it, the waiting time distributions in steady state have been derived. Mather et al. (2011) showed for some multi-class queueing networks that time-dependent distributions for the multi-class queue lengths can have a factorised form which reduces the problem of computing such distributions to a similar problem for related single-class queueing networks. Jung and Morrison (2010) gave closed form solutions for the equilibrium probability distribution in the closed Lu-Kumar network under two buffer priority policies, Kim and Morrison (2010) presented an equilibrium probabilities in a class of two station closed queueing network.

Re-entrant lines [described in Harrison (1988)] are a special case of queueing models related with systems composed of some machines/stations, in which customers are processed several times by the same server. These schemes are used to model a variety of real life systems including service centres, production/manufacturing systems, computer
and communication networks.... Much attention has been devoted to obtain stability conditions for this kind of networks, Adan and Weiss (2005, 2006), Nazarathy and Weiss (2008), Weiss $(2004,2005)$ and Nazarathy $(2008)$. A succinct study of these results is given in Guo et al. (2014), Kim and Morrison (2013), and Guo (2009).

Simulation technique is one of possible ways of modelling many complex systems. It can help to improve performance in terms of productivity, and most importantly it can help to identify and detect bottlenecks in production. Simulation has been used to study the behaviour of real systems in order to identify and understand problems associated with the systems. Therefore, in order to improve the performance in any manufacturing system, it is necessary to improve constraints also known as bottlenecks. Joseph and Sridharan (2014) focused on the evaluation of the routing flexibility of a flexible manufacturing system with the dynamic arrival of part types for processing in the system. A typical flexible manufacturing system configuration is chosen for detailed study and analysis. Ramaswami and Jeyakumar (2014) studied non-Markovian bulk queueing system with state dependent arrivals and multiple vacations using a simulation approach, Korytkowski and Wisniewski (2012) examined a multi-product production systems with in-line quality control, Rad et al. (2014) gave an analysis of a manufacturing system using simulation and multi-criteria decision-making tools were applied, Hasan et al. (2014) considered reconfigurable manufacturing systems to be one of the newer technologies which cannot only meet stochastic product demand but can also produce products having customised variety, Tajini et al. (2014) developed a flexible modelling environment for the simulation and analysis of different production systems, Boualem et al. (2015) focused on flexible production system modelled by re-entrant queueing network, where several performance measures have been investigated through expanded Monte Carlo simulations.

The main objective of this paper is to discuss the stability of a manufacturing system model under a specific service discipline, as an important source of the motivation of our research we mention Weiss (2004), where a stability analysis of a particular case 'a re-entrant line with two stations and three processing steps' of our general model is carried out. Thus, the main motivation of this research is to develop the stability study of general multi-station manufacturing system with an arbitrary $N(N \geq 2)$ number of stations by using two different techniques including fluid approach and Foster's criterion. Note that it is not obvious how to extend the proofs beyond two machines queueing systems. In addition, in this paper the simulation is used to model our system. Using simulation technique as a means for improving existing manufacturing systems allows to evaluate the effect of local changes on the global system performance. The considered re-entrant model consists of $N$ stations with infinite supply of work at the first one. In an infinite re-entrant line, we suppose that there are continually infinitely many class 1 customers available, which assures that the station serving class 1 will be always busy under non-idling service discipline.

Infinite supply of work expresses an ability to control the arrivals and is often a reasonable way to model a processing system. In some situations there may indeed be an infinite supply of work. In manufacturing systems, the supply of parts for processing at an expensive machine may be controlled and not allowed to exhaust. We refer to this as an infinite virtual queue: it acts like an infinite queue while in fact it only contains a few customers which are continually replenished. In standard queueing networks, one can regard the input stream as the output of a server which is fed by an infinite supply of work (Nazarathy and Weiss, 2010).

Our system is a generalised infinite re-entrant line initialised by Weiss (2004). By using Foster criterion, the author gave a sufficient condition for the stability of the system. In the present work, we study stability condition for our system using Foster criterion and fluid approach, then the effects of various parameters on the performance of the system have been examined numerically.

This paper is organised as follows: Section 2 describes the manufacturing system modelled by a re-entrant queueing model. In Section 3, the theoretical analysis is given, the stability via fluid model approach and Foster criterion approach is established. In Section 4, a detailed simulation study is carried out considering two different specific policies, then the obtained results are compared.

## 2 The mathematical model

The multi-station manufacturing system considered in this paper consists of inputs, queues and servers as service centres (see Figure 1). Generally, it consists of $N$ servers serving customers arriving in some manner and having some service requirements. The customers (the flow of entities) represent users, customers, transactions or programs. They arrive at the service facility for service, waiting for service, and leave the system after being served. The queueing system is described by distribution of inter-arrival times, distribution of service times, the number of servers, and the service discipline. More precisely we consider a multi-station manufacturing system model consisting of $N$ stations, and a $2 N-1$ steps. Customers arrive to the system at rate $\alpha$, and follow the route fixed by the system, each one is processed first by station 1 for the first step with rate $\mu_{1}$, after that by station 2 for the second step with rate $\mu_{2}$, then aligns all the steps of the network, until the $(2 N-1)^{\text {th }}$ one at which it will be processed again by the first station with rate $\mu_{2 N-1}$ then leaves definitively the system. The processing times for each of the $2 N-1$ steps are independent sequences of independent identically distributed random variables, with means $m_{i}$ and rates $\mu_{i}=\frac{1}{m_{i}}, i=\overline{1, N}$ and without loss of generality we scale time so that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{i}=1$. It is well-known that the customers arrive at this system in a renewal stream, at rate $\alpha$, and under the condition

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{i}=\alpha\left(m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}\right)<1, i=\overline{1, N-1},  \tag{1}\\
\rho_{N}=\alpha m_{N}<1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

the queues of customers waiting for each step are stable, and in fact the system is positive Harris recurrent, for any work conserving policy (see Dai and Weiss, 1996; Kumar and Kumar, 1994).

Assume that there is an infinite supply of work available, so that there are always customers ready for processing step 1 . In this case, machine 1 will always be busy. In other words, the input and output rates at the first station are such that the offered load to all the resources is equal to $\rho_{1}=1$. We call buffer 1 a virtual infinite queue. The queue is virtual because in practice buffer 1 need not contain many customers, but it needs to be monitored so it will never be empty. The concept of infinite supply of work is quite natural in many practical situations, and in particular it is very relevant to manufacturing systems. The fact that there are always infinitely many class 1 customers available
guarantees that the station serving class 1 will be always busy under non-idling service discipline.

Our purpose in this study is to discuss in details this system under last in first out policy (last buffer first server) (LIFO). In particular, we show that if $m_{1}+m_{2 N}>m_{i}+$ $m_{2 N-i}, i=\overline{2, N-1}$ and $m_{1}+m_{2 N}>m_{N}$ then under LIFO policy machine 1 will work all the time (that is we will have $\rho_{1}=1$ ), but the queues for steps $2,3, \ldots, 2 N-1$ will be stable. Suppose that in our system there are always customers available for processing of step 1. When these later finish processing step 1 by station 1 , they queue in buffer 2 where they remain until they will be processed by machine 2 for step 2 , then they move to machine 3 for step 3. The customers continue requiring services until they arrive to buffer $N$ where they will be served by machine $N$ for step $N$, after that they align the $(N+1)^{\text {th }}$ queue requiring a service of mean $m_{N+1}$ from the $(N-1)^{\text {th }}$ station, and move to other stations for other services till the first one where they will be served with mean $m_{2 N-1}$, and finally they leave the system. Each buffer is processed in FIFO order. Processing is non-idling, that is a machine will always process a customer when there is work. We assume that machine $i, i=\overline{1, N-1}$ gives preemptive priority to buffer $j, j=2 N-i$. Whenever there are customers in buffer $j$, machine $i$ will work on the first of them. When buffer $j$ empties, machine $i$ will immediately resume processing of a customer in step $i$. If during the processing of step $i$ a customer arrives from buffer $j-1$ into buffer $j$, machine $i$ will preempt its work at buffer $i$, and immediately start processing buffer $j$. Since the processing times are exponential, this system can be described as a discrete state continuous time Markov jump process, with the state given by the number of customers in buffers $\overline{2,2 N-1}$ denoted $n_{2}, \ldots, n_{2 N-1}$.

Figure 1 Multi-station manufacturing model


Our result has an important practical applications in job-shop scheduling; the best realistic application of our model is job shop manufacturing system in which little batches of a variety of custom products are made. Job shops are usually businesses that perform custom parts manufacturing for other businesses. Examples of job shops include a large class of businesses a machine tool shop, a machining centre, a commercial printing shop, and many other manufacturers. Our model can be also found in many other realistic situations like communication network where each node transmits unlimited supply of materials and various classes of messages originating at this node, under some specific preemptive priority discipline. Metropolitan area networks is a particular computer communication case of our model, it is a network of ducting and fibre optic cable laid within a metropolitan area which can be used by a variety of businesses and organisations to provide services including telecom, internet access, television, etc.

## 3 Theoretical study

The main objective of this paper is to discuss the stability conditions of our multi-station manufacturing system (see Figure 1). A sufficient condition for the stability of two machines and a three step production process, with an infinite supply of work was established in Weiss (2004) using the Foster criterion. In the current work, we give the condition stability of large class of general re-entrant line queueing networks initialised by Weiss (2004) using two different approaches, namely, the Foster criterion approach, and the fluid model approach. The main result is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1: The multi-station manufacturing system with $N$ stations and $2 N-1$ processing step is stable if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}+m_{2 N}>m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}, \quad i=\overline{2, N-1},  \tag{2}\\
m_{1}+m_{2 N}>m_{N} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: The proof of this theorem will be given into two ways, the first one is based on Foster criterion approach, the second one on fluid model approach, but at first, let us give a succinctly explanation of the suggestion of equation (2). By hypothesis, the first queue is infinite virtual, this assumption assures that station 1 is working all the time, which means that the traffic intensity of the station is $\rho_{1}=1$. So, every part which enter the system requires expected $m_{1}+m_{2 N}$ time units from it. Station 1 is always busy, thus the number of parts which processes in the system per time unit is $\frac{1}{m_{1}+m_{2 N}}$. Then for $j=\overline{2, N}$, the number of parts that are processed when the station is fully utilised is $m_{i}+$ $m_{j}, i=\overline{2, N-1}, j=2 N-i$ and $m_{N}$ per time unit. To this end, it is reasonable to say that the system will be stable if and only if $m_{1}+m_{2 N}>m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}, i=\overline{2, N-1}$, and $m_{1}+m_{2 N}>$ $m_{N}$.

The two following sub-sections establish the necessary and sufficient condition of our system, using two approaches.

### 3.1 First approach: the stability via foster criterion

In this part, we have to discuss the stability for $Q(t)=\left(Q_{k}\right), k=\overline{2,2 N-1}$ by using the Foster criterion.

For our study, we need to employ the following result.
Lemma 2 (Meyn and Tweedie, 1993): Let $h$ be a non-negative measurable function on state space of Markov chain $Z_{n}$ (the set of all non-negative integer numbers, it is written as $S$ ). $S_{0}$ is a finite set of $S$.

The chain $Z_{n}$ is positive recurrent if there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{z}\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)-h(z)<-\varepsilon \text { for all } z \notin S_{0} .  \tag{3}\\
& \mathbb{E}_{z}\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)<B \text { for all } z \in S_{0} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that the non-negative function $h$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}_{z}\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right) \geq h(z) \text { for all } z \in S \backslash S_{0},  \tag{5}\\
& \sup _{z \in S} \mathbb{E}_{z}\left|h\left(Z_{1}\right)-h(z)\right|<\infty \text { if for any } z_{0} \in S \backslash S_{0},  \tag{6}\\
& h\left(z_{0}\right)>h(z) \text { for all } z \in S_{0}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

then we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\tau_{S_{0}}\right)=\infty$, where $\tau_{S_{0}}=\inf \left\{n \geq Z_{n} \in S_{0}\right\}$.
Now, we need to find a function $h(\cdot)$ defined on $S$ such that inequalities (3) to (4) hold. Let $h(n)=n$, for all non-negative numbers.

Let us prove that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}>m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}, \text { if } i=\overline{2, N-1} \\
m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}>m_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is sufficient condition for the stability of process $Q(t)$, in other words the Markov chain $Z_{n}$ is positive Harris recurrent.

We have, for $z_{0}>L$, with $L$ the random number of customers processed in the each busy period of an $M / M / 1$ queue with arrival rate $\mu_{j}$ and service rate $\mu_{j+1}, j=\overline{N, 2 N-2}$;

$$
\mathbb{E}_{z_{0}}\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)-h\left(z_{0}\right) \leq \mu_{1} \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}-\mu_{i} \mathbb{E}\left(L^{\prime}\right)
$$

$L^{\prime}$ : number of customers served in a truncated busy period. Two cases are considered, either the busy period ends before all customers of class $j$ are processed, and thus at that moment class $j+1$ is empty, or class $j$ empties the first.

We now choose $\xi>0$ small enough, and define $S_{0}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n_{2 N-1}\right\}$, so that for any $z_{0} \notin S_{0}$ we have:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{z_{0}}\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)-h\left(z_{0}\right) \leq \mu_{1} \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}-\mu_{i} \frac{m_{i}}{m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}}+\xi<0 .
$$

Thus, equation (3) holds and equation (4) follows directly from the definition of $h(\cdot)$, this yields that $Z_{s}$ is positive recurrent.

Next, we need to find a function $h(\cdot)$ defined on $S$ such that inequalities (5) to (7) hold.

To prove the necessity of the stability conditions, we suppose that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}+m_{2 N-1} \leq m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}, \text { if } i=\overline{2, N-1}, \\
m_{1}+m_{2 N-1} \leq m_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and we have to demonstrate that the Markov chain $Z_{n}$ is not positive Harris recurrent. So, for all $z_{0}>n_{2 N-1}$, and since $m_{1}+m_{2 N-1} \leq m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}$, and $m_{1}+m_{2 N-1} \leq m_{N}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{z_{0}}\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)-h\left(z_{0}\right) \geq \mu_{1} \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}-\mu_{i} \frac{m_{i}}{m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}} \geq 0
$$

Thus equation (5) holds.
Now, for all $z \in S$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{z}\left|\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)-h(z)\right|=\mathbb{E}_{z}\left|Z_{1}-z\right| \leq \mu_{1} \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}-\mu_{i} \frac{m_{i}}{m_{i+m_{2 N-i}}} .
$$

So, $\sup _{z \in S} \mathbb{E}_{z}\left|\left(h\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)-h(z)\right|<\infty$. Then (6) holds. Equation (7) follows directly from the definition of $h(\cdot)$, which completes the proof.

### 3.2 Second approach: the stability via fluid model

First, let us present some performance measures which are particularly interesting. Let $2 N-2$ dimensional queue length process $Q=\left(Q_{k}\right)$ with $Q_{k}=\left\{Q_{k}(t): t \geq 0\right\}$, where $Q_{k}(t)$ indicates the number of class $k$ customers in the network at time $t$. The process $S=\left\{S_{k}(t), t \geq 0\right\}$, where $S_{k}(t)$ indicates the number of service completions for class $k$ after station $\sigma(k)$ serves $k$ for a cumulative of $t$ units of time. $T=\left\{T_{k}(t): t \geq 0\right\}$, where $T_{k}(t)$ indicates the cumulative amount of processing time that the station $\sigma(k)$ has served class $k$ customers during [ $0, t$ ]. Thus, $S_{k}\left(T_{k}(t)\right)$ is the total number of class $k$ customer service completions by time $t$.

So, since there is a fixed route for all parts in the system, one can check that $S(\cdot), T(\cdot)$, $Y(\cdot)$ and $Q(\cdot)$ satisfy the following queueing system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{k}(t)=Q_{k}(0)+S_{k-1}\left(T_{k-1}(t)\right)-S_{k}\left(T_{k}(t)\right) \geq 0, \quad k=\overline{2,2 N-1} .  \tag{8}\\
& T_{k}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left[Q_{k}(s)>0\right]} d s, \quad k=\overline{N, 2 N-1 .}  \tag{9}\\
& T_{i}(t)=t-T_{2 N-i}(t)=Y_{2 N-i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left[Q_{2 N-i}(s)=0\right]} d s, \quad i=\overline{1, N-1} .  \tag{10}\\
& Y_{N}(t)=t-T_{N}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left[Q_{N}(s)=0\right]} d s . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, referring to Chen (1995), and Dai and Weiss (1996), it is easy to verify that the fluid models corresponding to formulas (8)-(11) are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{Q}_{k}(t)=\bar{Q}_{k}(0)+\mu_{k-1}\left(\bar{T}_{k-1}(t)\right)-\mu_{k}\left(T_{k}(t)\right) \geq 0, \quad k=\overline{2,2 N-1} .  \tag{12}\\
& \bar{T}_{k}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left[\bar{D}_{k}(s)>0\right]} d s, \quad k=\overline{N, 2 N-1} .  \tag{13}\\
& \bar{T}_{i}(t)=t-\bar{T}_{2 N-i}(t)=\bar{Y}_{2 N-i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left[\bar{Q}_{2 N-i}(s)=0\right]} d s, \quad i=\overline{1, N-1 .}  \tag{14}\\
& \bar{Y}_{N}(t)=t-\bar{T}_{N}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\left[\bar{Q}_{N}(s)=0\right]} d s . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using formulas (13) to (15), $Q_{k}(\cdot)$ and $T_{k}(\cdot), k=\overline{1,2 N-1}$, are Lipschitz continuous.
Now, it is well-known that the fluid model given by expressions (12) to (15) is strongly stable if there exists a time $\gamma>0$ such that for any fluid solution $Q(\cdot), T(\cdot)$ of the fluid model with the initial condition $\sum_{k=2}^{2 N-1} \bar{Q}_{k}(0)=1$, we have $\sum_{k=2}^{2 N-1} \bar{Q}_{k}(t)=0$, for $t \geq \gamma$.

To prove that our multi-station queueing model is stable, it suffices to prove that its corresponding fluid is stable, to this end, we have to demonstrate that the fluid model given by (12) to (15) is stable if and only if condition (2) is satisfied.

First, let us suppose that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}+m_{2 N} \leq m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}, \quad i=\overline{2, N-1}, \\
m_{1}+m_{2 N} \leq m_{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By assumption, the first station is always busy, there is an infinitely customers waiting for service all the time, so $\bar{T}_{1}(t)+\bar{T}_{2 N-1}(t)=t$, and $\dot{\overline{T_{1}}}(t)+\dot{\bar{T}}_{2 N-1}(t)=1$.

Thus, for station 1 we have $\frac{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}{m_{1}} \bar{T}_{1}(t)-t \geq 0$.
The processing rate of class 1 is $\mu_{1} \dot{\bar{T}}_{1}(t)$, such that

$$
\mu_{1} \bar{T}_{1}(t) \geq \frac{1}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}} t, \text { and } \mu_{1} \dot{\bar{T}}_{1}(t) \geq \frac{1}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}
$$

Since for station $i=\overline{2, N}$, we have $\bar{T}_{i}(t)+\bar{T}_{2 N-i}(t) \leq t$ and $\bar{T}_{N}(t) \leq t$, this yields

$$
\left(\frac{m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}-1\right) t \geq 0, \quad \forall t>0, \text { and }\left(\frac{m_{N}}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}-1\right) t \geq 0, \quad \forall t>0 .
$$

So, if $m_{i}+m_{2 N-i} \geq m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}$ and $m_{N} \geq m_{1}+m_{2 N-1},|\bar{Q}(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, with $|\bar{Q}(t)|=\sum_{k=1}^{2 N-1} Q_{k}(t)$. Thus, the necessity of the condition stability is proved.

Now, the necessary stability condition of the system turns out to be sufficient, it is simply to proceed by contra positive to get the sufficient result.

At first suppose that $\bar{Q}_{2 N-1}(t) \neq 0$, this yield $\dot{T}_{1}(t)=0$, and $\dot{T}_{2 N-1}(t)=1$.
Thus, $\sum_{k=1}^{2 N-1} \dot{\bar{Q}}_{k}(t)=-\mu_{2 N-1}$.
If $\bar{Q}_{2 N-1}(t)=0, \bar{Q}_{j}(t) \neq 0, j=\overline{2,2 N-1}$, and $\frac{m_{i}+m_{2 N-i}-\left(m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}\right)}{m_{1}+m_{2 N-1}}<0$.
Since $\sum_{k=2}^{2 N-1} \bar{Q}(0)=1$ and Lipschitz continuity of $\sum_{k=2}^{2 N-1} Q(\cdot)$, there exists a $\gamma>0$ such that for $t \geq \gamma, \sum_{k=2}^{2 N-1} \bar{Q}(t)=0$.

Finally, we have proved that the fluid model given by formulas (12) to (15) associated with our network given by expressions (8) to (11) is stable, thus our manufacturing system is stable. $]$

Next section is devoted to the simulation approach study, where a class of manufacturing system is modelled by a re-entrant queueing system to analyse its performance measures. In addition, we compare and verify the results obtained from the simulation techniques and the theoretical results given for this type of systems.

## 4 Manufacturing system modelling and performance analysis

Consider a multi-station re-entrant manufacturing system composed of four stations and seven classes (see Figure 2), a prototype of a general model given in Section 2 (see Figure 1), customers arrive from outside requiring services, when these later finish processing step 1 by station 1 , they align the second queue where they remain until they will be processed for step 2, after that they move to station 3 for the third service, and then to station 4 for the fourth one. Later, customers align the fifth queue requiring service of mean $m_{5}$ from the third station, then continue requiring services from stations 2 and 1 , afterwards they leave definitively the whole system. Stations 1,2 and 3 give priority to buffer 7, 6 and 5 over buffer 1, 2, respectively.

Figure 2 Four station seven class manufacturing system


This system will be verified in order to ensure the theoretical result and finally analysis of the simulation model will be conducted. After the model is verified, there are different decisions that are made before the study proceeds any further. These include duration of the simulation, number of replication calculation, method of analysis used, etc. Finally, performance analysis and evaluation of the model and different operational procedures will be performed.

So, we analyse, evaluate and improve different performance measures of our system 'The mean number of customers in the whole system and in each class, the mean number of customers waiting in the global system and in each station, and the load in the whole system and in each station'. Subsequently, we analyse the influence of parameters of the considered system for two specific policies:

- First policy: The arriving customers follow a Poisson process with rate $\alpha$, service priority is given to class $i$, class $2 N-i$ is not interrupted since it begins to be served.
- Second policy: This latter has been already defined in Section 2 (the mathematical model).

Throughout the analysis, several conclusions will be drawn by comparing the results obtained of the two policies. The primary objective of this part of paper is to model a class of multi-station manufacturing line, to analyse, to evaluate and improve its performance using computer simulation techniques. Finally, conclusions are drawn from the analysis made and then recommendations are given based on those
concluded points. Therefore, it is believed that the work will add some value to the existing knowledge. Analysis and evaluation of a multi-station manufacturing system usually uses performance indicators capable of assessing the adequacy of the model used with respect to the real system.

We first start by specifying performance measures which we consider interesting to study: In all what follows, we fixed $T_{\max }=20,000$ time units (duration of the simulation) and $M C=100$ (number of replication of Monte Carlo).

The following notations are used throughout this paper.

- $\quad N_{i 1}, N_{i 2}$ : The mean number of customers in the $i^{\text {th }}(i=\overline{1,7})$ class in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $Q_{i 1}, Q_{i 2}$ : The mean number of customers in the queue of the $i^{\text {th }}(i=\overline{1,7})$ class in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $\quad C_{i 1}, C_{i 2}$ : The load (\%) of the $i^{\text {th }}(i=\overline{1,7})$ class in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $\quad N_{i 1}, N_{i 2}(i=\overline{8,10})$ : The mean number of customers in the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{nd}$ and 3 d station in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $Q_{i 1}, Q_{i 2}(i=\overline{8,10})$ : The mean number of customers waiting in the first (resp. in the second) station in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $C_{i 1}, C_{i 2}(i=\overline{8,10})$ : The load (\%) in the first (resp. in the second) station in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $\quad N_{11,1}, N_{11,2}$ : The mean number of customers in the global system in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $Q_{11,1}, Q_{11,2}$ : The mean number of customers waiting in the global system in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.
- $\quad C_{11,1}, C_{11,2}$ : The load (\%) of the global system in the case of the first and the second policy respectively.

First, let us fixed the service rates $\mu_{i},(i=\overline{1,7})$ and vary the arrival rates $\gamma$. Thereafter, we carry out inversely so as to obtain different states of the network.

### 4.1 First case: variation of the arrival rates $\alpha$

For $\mu_{i}=1 / 7, i=\overline{1,7}$, we vary $\alpha$ with a pitch equal to 0.01 starting with $\alpha=0.05$, for the two policies. The results are summarised in Tables 1 to 3.

Table 1 Variation of the mean number of customers in the system in terms of $\alpha$

| $\alpha$ | 0.0300 | 0.0500 | 0.0700 | 0.0900 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.0738 | 0.0741 | 0.0739 | 0.0740 |
| $N_{11}$ | 0.4004 | 1.4426 | 15.3908 | 163.6631 |
| $N_{12}$ | 0.5180 | 0.5179 | 0.5176 | 0.5199 |
| $N_{21}$ | 0.3916 | 1.5115 | 16.0990 | 36.7867 |
| $N_{22}$ | 40.4849 | 35.9752 | 40.4291 | 37.5077 |
| $N_{31}$ | 0.3942 | 1.5689 | 13.3126 | 24.9258 |
| $N_{32}$ | 24.1205 | 27.5055 | 27.2439 | 26.9458 |
| $N_{41}$ | 0.2665 | 0.5773 | 1.0858 | 1.1549 |
| $N_{42}$ | 1.1492 | 1.1698 | 1.1564 | 1.1575 |
| $N_{51}$ | 0.3076 | 0.6742 | 1.1712 | 1.2338 |
| $N_{52}$ | 1.2411 | 1.2466 | 1.2465 | 1.2436 |
| $N_{61}$ | 0.3152 | 0.6894 | 1.2447 | 1.3158 |
| $N_{62}$ | 1.3300 | 1.3331 | 1.3326 | 1.3191 |
| $N_{71}$ | 0.3159 | 0.6969 | 1.2772 | 1.3819 |
| $N_{72}$ | 1.3881 | 1.3932 | 1.3913 | 1.3894 |
| $N_{81}$ | 0.7163 | 2.1395 | 16.6680 | 165.0450 |
| $N_{82}$ | 1.9062 | 1.9111 | 1.9089 | 1.9092 |
| $N_{91}$ | 0.7068 | 2.2008 | 17.3437 | 38.1024 |
| $N_{92}$ | 41.8150 | 37.3083 | 41.7617 | 38.8268 |
| $N_{10,1}$ | 0.7018 | 2.2431 | 14.4837 | 26.1597 |
| $N_{10,2}$ | 25.3616 | 28.7521 | 28.4904 | 28.1895 |
| $N_{111}$ | 2.3914 | 7.1607 | 49.5812 | 230.4620 |
| $N_{11,2}$ | 70.2319 | 69.1414 | 73.3173 | 70.0830 |

Table 2 Variation of the mean number of customers waiting in the system in terms of $\alpha$

| $\alpha$ | 0.0300 | 0.0500 | 0.0700 | 0.0800 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.0738 | 0.0741 | 0.0739 | 0.0738 |
| $Q_{11}$ | 0.1214 | 0.8799 | 14.4692 | 67.9457 |
| $Q_{12}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $Q_{21}$ | 0.1155 | 0.9466 | 15.1861 | 33.0355 |
| $Q_{22}$ | 39.5183 | 35.0080 | 39.4592 | 38.3486 |
| $Q_{31}$ | 0.1186 | 0.9998 | 12.4126 | 23.2096 |
| $Q_{32}$ | 23.1791 | 26.5561 | 26.2948 | 24.5111 |
| $Q_{41}$ | 0.0575 | 0.2298 | 0.6159 | 0.6535 |
| $Q_{42}$ | 0.6693 | 0.6877 | 0.6747 | 0.6681 |
| $Q_{51}$ | 0.0589 | 0.2199 | 0.5243 | 0.5575 |
| $Q_{52}$ | 0.5721 | 0.5744 | 0.5743 | 0.5740 |
| $Q_{61}$ | 0.0672 | 0.2487 | 0.6165 | 0.6607 |

Table 2 Variation of the mean number of customers waiting in the system in terms of $\alpha$ (continued)

| $Q_{62}$ | 0.6794 | 0.6822 | 0.6807 | 0.6856 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{71}$ | 0.0692 | 0.2620 | 0.6585 | 0.7229 |
| $Q_{72}$ | 0.7414 | 0.7472 | 0.7433 | 0.7398 |
| $Q_{81}$ | 0.2945 | 1.4425 | 15.7175 | 69.3034 |
| $Q_{82}$ | 0.9062 | 0.9111 | 0.9089 | 0.9072 |
| $Q_{91}$ | 0.2863 | 1.5038 | 16.3977 | 34.3246 |
| $Q_{92}$ | 40.8358 | 36.3287 | 40.7805 | 39.6738 |
| $Q_{10,1}$ | 0.2837 | 1.5457 | 13.5490 | 24.4103 |
| $Q_{10,2}$ | 24.3998 | 27.7854 | 27.5236 | 25.7364 |
| $Q_{11,1}$ | 1.5382 | 6.1744 | 48.5814 | 131.0905 |
| $Q_{11,2}$ | 69.2319 | 68.1414 | 72.3173 | 69.4109 |

Table 3 Variation of the load of the system in terms of $\alpha$

| $\alpha$ | 0.0300 | 0.0500 | 0.0700 | 0.0800 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.0738 | 0.0741 | 0.0739 | 0.0738 |
| $C_{11}$ | 27.9034 | 56.2669 | 92.1597 | 98.3781 |
| $C_{12}$ | 51.8036 | 51.7936 | 51.7620 | 51.8370 |
| $C_{21}$ | 27.6098 | 56.4846 | 91.2942 | 95.5056 |
| $C_{22}$ | 96.6659 | 96.7170 | 96.9885 | 96.8079 |
| $C_{31}$ | 27.5605 | 56.9101 | 90.0016 | 93.6947 |
| $C_{32}$ | 94.1472 | 94.9383 | 94.9073 | 94.5793 |
| $C_{41}$ | 20.8983 | 34.7421 | 46.9887 | 47.9379 |
| $C_{42}$ | 47.9867 | 48.2142 | 48.1625 | 48.0859 |
| $C_{51}$ | 24.8660 | 45.4305 | 64.6858 | 66.4758 |
| $C_{52}$ | 66.8971 | 67.2259 | 67.2148 | 66.9888 |
| $C_{61}$ | 24.8001 | 44.0694 | 62.8204 | 64.5011 |
| $C_{62}$ | 65.0587 | 65.0936 | 65.1888 | 65.1764 |
| $C_{71}$ | 24.6708 | 43.4941 | 61.8679 | 64.0160 |
| $C_{72}$ | 64.6784 | 64.5983 | 64.8022 | 64.8947 |
| $C_{81}$ | 42.1755 | 69.7075 | 95.0519 | 98.9062 |
| $C_{82}$ | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| $C_{91}$ | 42.0528 | 69.7033 | 94.5976 | 97.1743 |
| $C_{92}$ | 97.9106 | 97.9575 | 98.1130 | 98.0244 |
| $C_{10,1}$ | 41.8036 | 69.7345 | 93.4719 | 95.8436 |
| $C_{10,2}$ | 96.1802 | 96.6732 | 96.6763 | 96.4378 |
| $C_{11,1}$ | 85.3177 | 98.6319 | 99.9780 | 99.9940 |
| $C_{11,2}$ | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

According to Tables 1-3, we constant that:

- In the case of the first policy:

1 The mean number of customers (in the system and in the queues) is sensitive to the variation of $\alpha$.

2 By varying $\alpha$, the mean number of customers and the load in the classes 1 and 2 as in stations 1 and 2 increases considerably compared to other classes, so the first station will be congested which causes a congestion 'bottleneck' of the second station.

- In the case of the second policy:

1 There exists a considerable mean number of customers in the system and in the queue of each class.
2 For any $\alpha$, compared to the first policy, the mean number of customers is more important in the second station. The load in the classes and in the stations 2 and 3 are equilibrated.
3 For $\alpha=0.03$, the load in the second station and in class 2 is very high compared to other classes, this latter will causes a saturation of station 3, this bottleneck is due to the fact that $m_{1}+m_{7}=m_{2}+m_{6}=m_{3}+m_{5}$, [equation (2) is not verified].

Graphical representations (see Figure 3) illustrate the details of some results in the case of the first policy.

Figure 3 The state of the network when $\alpha=0.08$ and $\mu=[1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7]$ (see online version for colours)


Figure 3 The state of the network when $\alpha=0.08$ and $\mu=[1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7,1 / 7]$ (continued) (see online version for colours)


To conclude, we present a summary table on the results (see Table 4). This allows us to say that:

1 The network is unstable when one of the necessary conditions is not verified.
2 By varying $\alpha$ from 0.03 to 0.07 , the system is stable in the case of the two policies ( $\rho_{i}<1, i=1,4$ ). On other hand, by varying $\alpha$ from 0.08 to 0.09 , the system is unstable ( $\rho_{i}>1, i=\overline{1,3}$ ).

Table 4 The state of the network in the case of the two policies

| $\alpha$ | $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | $\rho_{4}$ | Constatation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.03 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.21 | Stable |
| 0.04 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.28 |  |
| 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.35 |  |
| 0.06 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.42 |  |
| 0.07 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.49 |  |
| 0.08 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 1.12 | 0.56 | Unstable |
| 0.09 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.26 | 0.63 |  |

### 4.2 Second case: variation of the service rates

### 4.2.1 Variation of the service rates of the first station

Let us vary the service rates $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{7}$, and fixed the rate $\alpha$ as the service rates of the second, the third and the fourth station. For $\alpha=0.05, \mu_{2}=0.1, \mu_{3}=0.15, \mu_{4}=0.1$, $\mu_{5}=0.15$ and $\mu_{6}=0.2$. The results of the simulation in the case of the two policies are summarised in Tables 5 to 7 .

Table 5 Variation of the mean number of customers in the system in terms of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{7}$

| $\mu_{1}$ | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{7}$ | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.1500 | 0.2000 |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.0426 | 0.0699 | 0.0845 | 0.0676 |
| $N_{11}$ | 83.1417 | 2.1207 | 1.2689 | 2.2128 |
| $N_{12}$ | 0.1699 | 0.3499 | 0.5611 | 0.6770 |
| $N_{21}$ | 5.6732 | 2.8849 | 2.2431 | 2.1444 |
| $N_{22}$ | 6.3445 | 60.0884 | 185.8040 | 30.6144 |
| $N_{31}$ | 1.5271 | 1.3659 | 1.2280 | 1.2364 |
| $N_{32}$ | 1.6018 | 5.0560 | 5.9585 | 4.8238 |
| $N_{41}$ | 1.0883 | 1.1272 | 1.0609 | 1.0667 |
| $N_{42}$ | 1.0861 | 2.3074 | 2.4475 | 2.2486 |
| $N_{51}$ | 0.5737 | 0.6414 | 0.6228 | 0.6297 |
| $N_{52}$ | 0.5886 | 1.0453 | 1.0846 | 1.0397 |
| $N_{61}$ | 0.5921 | 0.6708 | 0.6600 | 0.6498 |
| $N_{62}$ | 0.6087 | 1.1124 | 1.1585 | 1.0985 |
| $N_{71}$ | 4.4914 | 1.1130 | 0.6775 | 0.6825 |
| $N_{72}$ | 4.6337 | 2.1769 | 1.2861 | 1.1767 |
| $N_{81}$ | 87.6331 | 3.2337 | 1.9464 | 2.8953 |
| $N_{82}$ | 4.8036 | 2.5268 | 1.8472 | 1.8538 |

Table 5 Variation of the mean number of customers in the system in terms of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{7}$ (continued)

| $N_{91}$ | 6.2654 | 3.5557 | 2.9031 | 2.7942 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N_{92}$ | 6.9532 | 61.2008 | 186.9625 | 31.7129 |
| $N_{10,1}$ | 2.1008 | 2.0073 | 1.8508 | 1.8660 |
| $N_{10,2}$ | 2.1903 | 6.1014 | 7.0431 | 5.8636 |
| $N_{11,1}$ | 97.0875 | 9.9239 | 7.7613 | 8.6223 |
| $N_{11,2}$ | 15.0332 | 72.1364 | 198.3003 | 41.6789 |

Table 6 Variation of the mean number of customers waiting in the system in terms of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{7}$

| $\mu_{1}$ | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{7}$ | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.1500 | 0.2000 |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.0426 | 0.0699 | 0.0845 | 0.0676 |
| $Q_{11}$ | 82.1622 | 1.5175 | 0.7397 | 1.5407 |
| $Q_{12}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $Q_{21}$ | 5.1120 | 2.2175 | 1.5788 | 1.4795 |
| $Q_{22}$ | 5.7668 | 59.1165 | 184.8068 | 29.6484 |
| $Q_{31}$ | 1.0693 | 0.8333 | 0.7021 | 0.7067 |
| $Q_{32}$ | 1.1339 | 4.2576 | 5.1360 | 4.0287 |
| $Q_{41}$ | 0.6742 | 0.6270 | 0.5626 | 0.5652 |
| $Q_{42}$ | 0.6685 | 1.6571 | 1.7868 | 1.5972 |
| $Q_{5} 1$ | 0.2186 | 0.2194 | 0.2032 | 0.2056 |
| $Q_{52}$ | 0.2251 | 0.4676 | 0.4932 | 0.4635 |
| $Q_{61}$ | 0.2432 | 0.2521 | 0.2410 | 0.2303 |
| $Q_{62}$ | 0.2506 | 0.5274 | 0.5612 | 0.5176 |
| $Q_{71}$ | 3.6509 | 0.5764 | 0.2690 | 0.2735 |
| $Q_{72}$ | 3.7829 | 1.4479 | 0.6945 | 0.6115 |
| $Q_{81}$ | 86.6452 | 2.4889 | 1.2818 | 2.1414 |
| $Q_{82}$ | 3.8036 | 1.5268 | 0.8472 | 0.8538 |
| $Q_{91}$ | 5.6468 | 2.8097 | 2.1556 | 2.0453 |
| $Q_{92}$ | 6.3207 | 60.2214 | 185.9647 | 30.7368 |
| $Q_{10,1}$ | 1.5521 | 1.3458 | 1.1883 | 1.1983 |
| $Q_{10,2}$ | 1.6324 | 5.2340 | 6.1570 | 4.9968 |
| $Q_{11,1}$ | 96.0878 | 8.9296 | 6.7729 | 7.6326 |
| $Q_{11,2}$ | 14.0332 | 71.1364 | 197.3003 | 40.6789 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7 Variation of the load of the system in terms of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{7}$

| $\mu_{1}$ | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{7}$ | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.1500 | 0.2000 |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.0426 | 0.0699 | 0.0845 | 0.0676 |
| $C_{11}$ | 97.9498 | 60.3274 | 52.9176 | 67.2133 |
| $C_{12}$ | 16.9894 | 34.9891 | 56.1078 | 67.7047 |
| $C_{21}$ | 56.1236 | 66.7418 | 66.4305 | 66.4924 |
| $C_{22}$ | 57.7720 | 97.1954 | 99.7163 | 96.6012 |
| $C_{31}$ | 45.7777 | 53.2558 | 52.5813 | 52.9699 |
| $C_{32}$ | 46.7848 | 79.8424 | 82.2512 | 79.5141 |
| $C_{41}$ | 41.4088 | 50.0126 | 49.8366 | 50.1474 |
| $C_{42}$ | 41.7577 | 65.0351 | 66.0729 | 65.1352 |
| $C_{51}$ | 35.5053 | 42.1999 | 41.9689 | 42.4062 |
| $C_{52}$ | 36.3444 | 57.7753 | 59.1420 | 57.6242 |
| $C_{61}$ | 34.8975 | 41.8650 | 41.9068 | 41.9525 |
| $C_{62}$ | 35.8115 | 58.5049 | 59.7296 | 58.0978 |
| $C_{71}$ | 84.0495 | 53.6568 | 40.8493 | 40.9059 |
| $C_{72}$ | 85.0794 | 72.9019 | 59.1633 | 56.5210 |
| $C_{81}$ | 98.7844 | 74.4812 | 66.4573 | 75.3980 |
| $C_{82}$ | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| $C_{91}$ | 61.8624 | 74.5914 | 74.7526 | 74.8883 |
| $C_{92}$ | 63.2502 | 97.9446 | 99.7810 | 97.6081 |
| $C_{10,1}$ | 54.8687 | 66.1481 | 66.2551 | 66.7718 |
| $C_{10,2}$ | 55.7938 | 86.7312 | 88.6102 | 86.6811 |
| $C_{11,1}$ | 99.9684 | 99.4264 | 98.8406 | 98.9624 |
| $C_{11,2}$ | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |

Following the numerical results given in Tables 5-7, we constat that:

- In the case of the first policy:

1 For $\mu=[0.25,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.05]$, the mean number of customers as well as the load in the first class and in station 1, also in the overall system is very high compared to other classes, therefore the network is unstable. The instability is caused by the saturation of the first station $\left(\rho_{1}>1\right)$.

2 For $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{7}\right)$ varying from $(0.2,0.1)$ to $(0.15,0.15)$, the mean number of customers decreases in the class $i, i=\overline{2,7}$ as in stations $1,2,3$ and in the global system.

- In the case of the second policy:

1 For $\mu=[0.15,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.15]$, the mean number of customers and the load in the second class and in the second station is very high, this later is due to the fact that equation (2) is not verified, $m_{1}+m_{7}<m_{2}+m_{6}$.

2 For $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{7}\right)$ varying from $(0.25,0.05)$ to $(0.1,0.2)$, the load of the first station and the global system is stable.
3 For $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{7}\right)$ varying from $(0.2,0.1)$ to $(0.15,0.15)$, the mean number of customers increases.

For some results of Tables 5 to 7, graphical representations 'in the case of the first policy' are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5.

Figure 4 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.2,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.1]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (see online version for colours)


Figure 4 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.2,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.1]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (continued) (see online version for colours)


Figure 5 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.25,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.05]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (see online version for colours)


Figure 5 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.25,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.05]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (continued) (see online version for colours)


In conclusion, we present a summary table containing different situations of the network, based on its parameters. Table 8 permits us to constat that the state of the network is sensible to the variation of its parameters. Indeed, it moves from stability state (all conditions are fulfilled) to instability (if one of the conditions is not satisfied). For instance, when $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{6}\right)=(0.25,0.05)$, the network is unstable because $\left(\rho_{1}=1.2>1\right)$.

Table 8 The state of the network by varying $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{7}$

| $\mu_{1}$ | $\mu_{7}$ | $\rho_{1}$ | Constatation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 | 0.05 | 1.2 | Unstable |
| 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.75 | Stable |
| 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.66 |  |
| 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.75 |  |

### 4.2.2 Variation of the service rates of the second station

Let us vary $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{6}$, fixed the arrival rate $\alpha$, and the service rates of the first, the third and the fourth station. For $\alpha=0.05, \mu_{1}=0.15, \mu_{3}=0.1, \mu_{4}=0.1, \mu_{5}=0.15$ and $\mu_{7}=0.15$. In the case of the two policies, the simulation results are summarised in Tables 9 to 11 .
Table 9 Variation of the mean number of customers in the system in terms of $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{6}$

| $\mu_{2}$ | 0.3000 | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{6}$ | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.1500 | 0.200 | 0.2500 |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.1074 | 0.0899 | 0.0902 | 0.0899 | 0.0905 |
| $N_{11}$ | 1.0587 | 1.2810 | 1.2275 | 1.2585 | 1.2831 |
| $N_{12}$ | 0.7152 | 0.6030 | 0.6006 | 0.6014 | 0.6020 |
| $N_{21}$ | 72.6008 | 1.6892 | 0.8582 | 0.9348 | 1.8614 |
| $N_{22}$ | 638.6951 | 22.7448 | 5.3407 | 8.3180 | 155.6400 |
| $N_{31}$ | 8.5491 | 4.5562 | 3.6572 | 3.4218 | 3.3426 |
| $N_{32}$ | 9.5928 | 283.3280 | 296.0426 | 291.3640 | 157.2491 |
| $N_{41}$ | 1.0470 | 1.1020 | 1.0601 | 1.0548 | 1.0312 |
| $N_{42}$ | 1.0871 | 1.7127 | 1.7155 | 1.7641 | 1.7052 |
| $N_{51}$ | 0.7706 | 0.8510 | 0.8231 | 0.8185 | 0.8140 |
| $N_{52}$ | 0.7986 | 0.8510 | 1.1866 | 1.1928 | 1.1827 |
| $N_{61}$ | 4.3566 | 1.0242 | 0.5903 | 0.4831 | 0.5680 |
| $N_{62}$ | 4.6594 | 1.5667 | 0.8934 | 0.7788 | 0.9188 |
| $N_{71}$ | 0.5167 | 0.6526 | 0.6472 | 0.6590 | 0.6838 |
| $N_{72}$ | 0.6843 | 1.0459 | 1.0637 | 1.0878 | 1.1197 |
| $N_{81}$ | 1.5754 | 1.9336 | 1.8747 | 1.9175 | 1.9670 |
| $N_{82}$ | 1.3995 | 1.6489 | 1.6644 | 1.6891 | 1.7217 |
| $N_{91}$ | 76.9573 | 2.7134 | 1.4485 | 1.4179 | 2.4294 |
| $N_{92}$ | 643.3545 | 24.3115 | 6.2341 | 9.0968 | 156.5587 |
| $N_{10,1}$ | 9.3197 | 5.4072 | 4.4803 | 4.2402 | 4.1566 |
| $N_{10,2}$ | 10.3914 | 284.5099 | 297.2292 | 292.5568 | 158.4318 |
| $N_{11,1}$ | 88.8994 | 11.1563 | 8.8637 | 8.6305 | 9.5841 |
| $N_{11,2}$ | 656.2326 | 312.1830 | 306.8431 | 305.1068 | 318.4175 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10 Variation of the mean number of customers in the queues in terms of $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{6}$

| $\mu_{2}$ | 0.3000 | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{6}$ | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.1500 | 0.2000 | 0.2500 |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.1074 | 0.0899 | 0.0902 | 0.0899 | 0.0905 |
| $Q_{11}$ | 0.5670 | 0.7498 | 0.7021 | 0.7300 | 0.7525 |
| $Q_{12}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $Q_{21}$ | 71.6255 | 1.1508 | 0.4358 | 0.4774 | 1.2416 |
| $Q_{22}$ | 637.6981 | 21.8258 | 4.5527 | 7.4597 | 154.6435 |
| $Q_{31}$ | 7.8819 | 3.7893 | 2.8961 | 2.6644 | 2.5850 |
| $Q_{32}$ | 8.9089 | 282.3325 | 295.0450 | 290.3666 | 156.2545 |
| $Q_{41}$ | 0.6264 | 0.6053 | 0.5629 | 0.5570 | 0.5346 |
| $Q_{42}$ | 0.6553 | 1.1167 | 1.1154 | 1.1616 | 1.1086 |
| $Q_{51}$ | 0.3185 | 0.3284 | 0.3042 | 0.3016 | 0.2967 |
| $Q_{52}$ | 0.3355 | 0.5323 | 0.5362 | 0.5417 | 0.5336 |
| $Q_{61}$ | 3.4967 | 0.4937 | 0.2090 | 0.1520 | 0.2042 |
| $Q_{62}$ | 3.7881 | 0.9075 | 0.3918 | 0.3159 | 0.4064 |
| $Q_{71}$ | 0.1635 | 0.2371 | 0.2359 | 0.2498 | 0.2791 |
| $Q_{72}$ | 0.2391 | 0.4778 | 0.4980 | 0.5275 | 0.5728 |
| $Q_{81}$ | 0.9588 | 1.2647 | 1.2111 | 1.2527 | 1.3029 |
| $Q_{82}$ | 0.3995 | 0.6489 | 0.6644 | 0.6891 | 0.7217 |
| $Q_{91}$ | 75.9715 | 2.0124 | 0.8648 | 0.8328 | 1.7306 |
| $Q_{92}$ | 642.3568 | 23.3649 | 5.3834 | 8.2021 | 155.5616 |
| $Q_{10,1}$ | 8.6145 | 4.5764 | 3.6480 | 3.4098 | 3.3252 |
| $Q_{10,2}$ | 9.6727 | 283.5134 | 296.2311 | 291.5587 | 157.4356 |
| $Q_{11,1}$ | 87.8995 | 10.1607 | 7.8726 | 7.6400 | 8.5913 |
| $Q_{11,2}$ | 655.2326 | 311.1830 | 305.8431 | 304.1068 | 317.4175 |

Table 11 Variation of the load of the system in terms of $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{6}$

| $\mu_{2}$ | 0.3000 | 0.2500 | 0.2000 | 0.1500 | 0.1000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{6}$ | 0.0500 | 0.1000 | 0.1500 | 0.2000 | 0.2500 |
| $\alpha_{e}$ | 0.1074 | 0.0899 | 0.0902 | 0.0899 | 0.0905 |
| $C_{11}$ | 49.1684 | 53.1218 | 52.5445 | 52.8489 | 53.0629 |
| $C_{12}$ | 71.5172 | 60.2999 | 60.0637 | 60.1370 | 60.1982 |
| $C_{21}$ | 97.5310 | 53.8347 | 42.2434 | 45.7444 | 61.9838 |
| $C_{22}$ | 99.6968 | 91.8981 | 78.8088 | 85.8292 | 99.6431 |
| $C_{31}$ | 66.7137 | 76.6885 | 76.1054 | 75.7430 | 75.7628 |
| $C_{32}$ | 68.3900 | 99.5501 | 99.7589 | 99.7421 | 99.4593 |
| $C_{41}$ | 42.0638 | 49.6731 | 49.7235 | 49.7820 | 49.6546 |
| $C_{42}$ | 43.1798 | 59.5967 | 60.0038 | 60.2487 | 59.6664 |
| $C_{51}$ | 45.2146 | 52.2598 | 51.8902 | 51.6811 | 51.7297 |

Table 11 Variation of the load of the system in terms of $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{6}$ (continued)

| $C_{52}$ | 46.3121 | 64.9582 | 65.0430 | 65.1050 | 64.9109 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{61}$ | 85.9871 | 53.0533 | 38.1318 | 33.1047 | 36.3828 |
| $C_{62}$ | 87.1341 | 65.9186 | 50.1596 | 46.2909 | 51.2326 |
| $C_{71}$ | 35.3228 | 41.5530 | 41.1259 | 40.9190 | 40.4722 |
| $C_{72}$ | 44.5253 | 56.8093 | 56.5768 | 56.0267 | 54.6899 |
| $C_{81}$ | 61.6549 | 66.8929 | 66.3618 | 66.4738 | 66.4124 |
| $C_{82}$ | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |
| $C_{91}$ | 98.5839 | 70.0996 | 58.3665 | 58.5150 | 69.8806 |
| $C_{92}$ | 99.7674 | 94.6594 | 85.0746 | 89.4648 | 99.7095 |
| $C_{10,1}$ | 70.5120 | 83.0829 | 83.2273 | 83.0392 | 83.1352 |
| $C_{10,2}$ | 71.8760 | 99.6542 | 99.8107 | 99.8047 | 99.6139 |
| $C_{11,1}$ | 99.9908 | 99.5598 | 99.1070 | 99.0451 | 99.2803 |
| $C_{11,2}$ | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 100.0000 |

Following the numerical results given in Tables 9 to 11, we constat that:

- In the case of the second policy:

1 For $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{6}\right)=(0.3,0.05)$, the mean number of customers as well as the load in the second class and in the second station in the case of the first policy is considerable and it is very high in the case of the second policy which causes a bottleneck in the overall system; equation (2) is not verified $\left(m_{1}+m_{7}<m_{2}+\right.$ $m_{6}$ ).
2 For $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{6}\right)$ varying from $(0.25,0.1)$ to $(0.2,0.15)$, the load is very high in the third class and the mean number of customers in the third station increases.
3 For $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{6}\right)$ varying from $(0.15,0.2)$ to $(0.1,0.25)$, the mean number of customers deceases.
4 Whatever the variation of $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{6}\right)$, the first station 1 and the global system are clogged which will also cause a congestion in the station 2.

- In the case of the first policy: For $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{4}\right)$ varying from $(0.25,0.1)$ to $(0.1,0.25)$, the mean number of customers is almost equally distributed.

For some results of Tables 9 to 11, the graphs concerning the first policy are illustrated in Figures 6 and 7.

Figure 6 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.15,0.25,0.1,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (see online version for colours)


Figure 6 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.15,0.25,0.1,0.1,0.15,0.1,0.15]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (continued) (see online version for colours)


Figure 7 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.15,0.3,0.1,0.1,0.15,0.05,0.15]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (see online version for colours)


Figure 7 The state of the network when $\mu=[0.15,0.3,0.1,0.1,0.15,0.05,0.15]$ and $\alpha=0.05$ (continued) (see online version for colours)


As conclusion, we present a summary table (see Table 12) containing different network situations, depending on its parameters. From Table 12, we constat that the state of the system is sensible to the variation of its parameters. In fact, we have a stable state when all the conditions are satisfied, and unstable state when one of the conditions is not satisfied. For example, when $\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{6}\right)=(0.3,0.05)$, the system is unstable because ( $\rho_{2}=1.16>1$ ).

Table 12 The state of the system by varying $\mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{6}$ in the case of the two policies

| $\mu_{2}$ | $\mu_{6}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | Constatation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.30 | 0.05 | 1.60 | Unstable |
| 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.70 | Stable |
| 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.58 |  |
| 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.58 |  |
| 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.70 |  |

### 4.3 Concluding remarks for this section

- A detailed simulation of a type of multi-station manufacturing systems (four stations and seven classes) is presented, considering two disciplines.
- Using Monte Carlo techniques, the properties for system trajectories are well-established. The effectiveness of the proposed Monte Carlo algorithm is examined by a series of simulation experiments and is found to be gratifying.
- It is shown how perfect samples can be used to analyse and solve complex multi-server queue models, as well as to highlight selected properties and characteristics of them. The theoretical result given in Section 3 is illustrated and the effect of various parameters on the performance of the system have been examined.
- Simulation analysis from the stationary distributions should output information about the facial characteristics of any system, examples are information about bottlenecks.


## 5 Conclusions and final remarks

In the current paper, we present an analysis of a priority multi-station manufacturing system modelled by re-entrant controlled queueing system, these sort of systems are fundamental of study in operations research and applied probability as they provide sensible models for a variety of engineering, communications, telecommunication, and service situations. We establish the stability condition (condition 2 ) of Theorem 1 for our model by using Foster criterion and fluid approach. This is a generalisation of the result given by Weiss (2004).

In this present work, it is also demonstrated that a Monte Carlo simulation can be used to deal with this type of systems. From the preliminary analysis, it was shown that the simulation model is capable of analysing a complex multi-station manufacturing system. The model developed in this study was aimed to understand and improve the performances of the priority production system. From the results, it can be concluded that the performances obtained for a given system from our technique and theoretical results are very close to each other. Still the coherence in results obtained is very significant, hence it can be said that these techniques are applicable to any manufacturing system to evaluate and confirms its performances.

For further work, it is interesting to study our system with general processing times and investigate their potential in terms of performance. For a general re-entrant line with infinite supply of work, a general approach may be needed for hunting stable conditions.
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