

Advances in Polymer Nanocomposites for Efficient Photocatalytic Dye Degradation: Synthesis, Mechanisms, and Environmental Applications

Vikram Uttam Pandit*

Department of Chemistry, Haribhai V. Desai College of Arts, Science and Commerce (Autonomous), Pune-411002, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding author*: vikramupandit@gmail.com, vikram.pandit@hvdesaicollege.edu.in

Received: 04 November 2025; Accepted: 21 December 2025; Published: 12 January 2026

Abstract

The discharge of synthetic dyes from various industrial activities poses a severe environmental threat due to their high stability, toxicity, and resistance to biodegradation. Polymer nanocomposites, which integrate functional polymers with semiconductor nanoparticles, have emerged as promising materials for the photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes under ultraviolet and visible light irradiation. This review comprehensively examines recent progress in the development of polymer nanocomposite photocatalysts, focusing on their synthesis methodologies, structural attributes, and underlying degradation mechanisms. The synergistic interactions within these hybrid systems facilitate improved charge separation, extended light absorption, and enhanced dye adsorption, leading to superior photocatalytic performance. Various classes of polymer nanocomposites, including polymer–metal oxide, conducting polymer-based, biopolymer-based, and hybrid composites, are discussed with respect to their functional roles in dye degradation and wastewater remediation. Furthermore, the review addresses recyclability, long-term stability, and practical applicability in real effluent systems. Future perspectives emphasize the advancement of green, biodegradable, and multifunctional polymer nanocomposites with enhanced durability, scalability, and environmental compatibility for sustainable wastewater treatment processes.

Keywords: Polymer nanocomposites, dye removal, polymer-metal conducting polymers, wastewater treatment, biodegradable polymers

I. Introduction

Water pollution caused by the discharge of synthetic dyes from various industrial sectors such as textiles, leather, paper, and pharmaceuticals has become a serious environmental concern worldwide [1–3]. Dyes constitute one of the largest groups of pollutants due to their complex aromatic structures, which resist degradation and persist in aquatic environments, causing toxicity to aquatic life and health hazards to humans [4–5]. The presence of colored effluents also decreases light penetration in water bodies, adversely impacting photosynthetic organisms and disrupting aquatic ecosystems [6–8]. Conventional dye removal techniques, including coagulation, sedimentation, adsorption on activated carbon, and chemical oxidation, have limitations such as incomplete degradation, generation of secondary pollutants, high operating costs, and sludge disposal issues [9–11]. Therefore, there is a pressing need to develop efficient, cost-effective, and sustainable methods to treat dye-contaminated wastewater [12–13].

Photocatalytic degradation, an environmentally benign approach, utilizes semiconductor materials to harness light energy to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) capable of breaking down complex dye molecules into harmless mineral end-products like CO₂ and H₂O. However, pure semiconductor photocatalysts often suffer from rapid recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs, limited visible light absorption, and agglomeration, which restrict their practical applications [15–16]. To overcome these challenges, polymer nanocomposites combining functional polymers with photocatalytic nanoparticles have garnered significant attention in recent years [17–20]. The polymer matrix enhances the dispersibility and stability of nanoparticles, provides adsorption sites for dye molecules, and facilitates efficient charge separation, reducing recombination losses as shown in figure 1 [21–25].

Moreover, conducting polymers like polyaniline and polypyrrole can extend light absorption into the visible region

and act as electron mediators to boost photocatalytic activity [26–33].




Figure 1: Photocatalytic mechanism of polymer matrix

This mini review focuses on polymer nanocomposites engineered for the degradation of organic dyes in wastewater. It discusses the types of polymer nanocomposites, synthesis strategies, degradation mechanisms involving ROS, and recent advancements demonstrating enhanced performance under visible or UV light. The review further highlights practical applications, recyclability, and the challenges faced in scaling up these nanocomposites for real-world wastewater treatment. Finally, it outlines future research directions to develop green and multifunctional polymer nanocomposite photocatalysts for sustainable environmental remediation.

Types of Polymer Nanocomposites

Polymer-metal oxide nanocomposites are the most widely studied nanocomposites, where semiconductor metal oxide nanoparticles, such as TiO₂, ZnO, Fe₃O₄, NiO, are embedded within or coated by polymer matrices [34–36]. The polymers used include conducting polymers like polyaniline (PANI), polypyrrole (PPy), and other synthetic or natural polymers like chitosan and polyethylene glycol [37–40]. Key features include enhanced charge separation due to polymer interaction that reduces electron-hole recombination and improved adsorption of dye molecules on the polymer surface [41–42]. TiO₂-based nanocomposites modified with graphene, graphene oxide, or metal doping show improved visible light absorption and photocatalytic efficiency [43–45]. Conducting polymer nanocomposites consist of conducting polymers such as polyaniline, polypyrrole, or polythiophene combined with nanoparticles or other nanomaterials. They

are known for their π -conjugated system allowing good electronic conductivity and light absorption properties, leading to effective electron donor-acceptor processes in photocatalysis [47–48]. Notably, composites like ZnO₂/polypyrrole uniquely degrade dyes both under light and in the dark by generating reactive oxygen species without additional reagents relying on synergistic physiochemical properties [49–50]. Biopolymer-based nanocomposites are natural polymers like chitosan, sodium alginate, or cellulose combined with metal oxide nanoparticles are gaining attention due to their biodegradability, biocompatibility, and environmental friendliness [51–53]. These biopolymers provide abundant functional groups for dye adsorption and can encapsulate nanoparticles to prevent aggregation, thereby improving photocatalytic stability and reusability [54–55]. Hybrid and multi-component nanocomposites involves combining more than two components, such as polymers with mixed metal oxides or doped nanoparticles. Hybrid nanocomposites are engineered to broaden light absorption range, increase active sites, and enhance photocatalytic efficiency through multi-functional synergistic effects [57–59]. For example, polymeric composites incorporating nanosheets (like hexagonal boron nitride), graphene derivatives, or noble metal nanoparticles (Au, Ag) in combination with metal oxides have shown superior dye degradation performance [60–61]. Magnetic polymer nanocomposites are loaded with magnetic nanoparticles (e.g., Fe₃O₄) not only exhibit photocatalytic properties but also enable facile magnetic recovery and recyclability, making them attractive for practical wastewater treatment processes [62–63].

Synthesis and Mechanisms of Polymer Nanocomposites for Dye Degradation

Polymer nanocomposites for photocatalytic dye degradation are synthesized using various methods that combine polymers with nanoparticles to enhance surface properties, stability, and photocatalytic efficiency [64].

Chemical Polymerization: Polymers like polyaniline (PANI) or polypyrrole (PPy) are chemically polymerized in the presence of nanoparticles such as TiO₂, ZnO, or Fe₃O₄. This approach provides good control over polymer-nanoparticle interactions and particle dispersion. [65-66]

Sol-Gel Method: Involves hydrolysis and condensation of metal alkoxides to form metal oxide nanoparticles embedded in polymer matrices. This mild synthesis enables excellent homogeneity and nano-scale dispersion. [67-70]

Hydrothermal and Solvothermal Synthesis: High temperature and pressure conditions favor crystalline nanoparticle growth within polymers. This technique often produces nanocomposites with enhanced crystallinity and photocatalytic activity. [71]

In Situ Polymerization: Polymers are formed in the presence of nanoparticles, leading to strong interfacial bonding and stable composites that help prevent nanoparticle aggregation. [72]

Solution Casting and Sonication: Nanoparticles are dispersed in polymer solutions by sonication and then cast into films or powders, allowing for easy scale-up preparation.

These methods produce nanocomposites with distinct morphologies and porosities that influence their photocatalytic performance. Functionalization of polymer matrices offers additional adsorption sites for dye molecules and improves catalyst stability [73].

Photocatalysis in polymer nanocomposites involves the activation of semiconductor nanoparticles by light with energy equal or higher than their band gap, generating electron-hole pairs. Key steps include:

Photoexcitation: Absorption of UV or visible light excites electrons from the valence band to the conduction band of the semiconductor, creating holes in the valence band. [74]

Charge Separation: Polymers assist in separating and transporting these charge carriers, reducing electron-hole recombination. Conducting polymers can act as electron donors or acceptors, enhancing charge mobility. [74-75]

Generation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS): Electrons reduce adsorbed oxygen molecules to superoxide radicals ($O_2^{\cdot-}$), while holes oxidize water or hydroxyl ions forming hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot OH$), both of which are strong oxidizers responsible for degrading dye molecules. [76]

Adsorption and Degradation: The polymer matrix adsorbs dye molecules near active sites, where ROS attack the dye's chromophoric groups inducing molecular breakdown into non-toxic end products such as CO_2 and H_2O . Effect of Parameters: Factors like pH, catalyst loading, light intensity, and dye concentration significantly affect degradation rates. The nanocomposite morphology also influences light absorption and active site availability [77]. Overall, polymer nanocomposites improve photocatalytic efficiency by integrating adsorption, light harvesting, and charge transfer functionalities, making them excellent candidates for environmental remediation applications [78].

Performance and Applications: Polymer nanocomposites have demonstrated remarkable performance in photocatalytic degradation of various organic dyes, showcasing their potential as effective materials for wastewater treatment. The synergy between polymer matrices and semiconductor nanoparticles facilitates enhanced photocatalytic activity, compared to pure components.

Photocatalytic Efficiency:

Nanocomposites such as chitosan-ZnO/Fe₃O₄ have achieved degradation efficiencies above 99% for methyl orange and over 90% for rhodamine B under visible light irradiation. The narrow bandgap (~2.8 eV) and porous nanostructure enable greater light absorption and dye access to active sites. TiO₂-based polymer composites exhibit improved photocatalytic degradation through reduced electron-hole pair recombination facilitated by conducting polymers or doped materials. Some nanocomposites can degrade dyes like rhodamine B and methylene blue even in dark conditions via advanced oxidation processes, demonstrating versatile catalytic capabilities beyond light activation. [79-82]

Stability and Reusability:

These nanocomposites generally maintain high photocatalytic activity over multiple cycles, with slight decreases in efficiency attributed to catalyst surface fouling or loss during recovery. Magnetic nanocomposites such as Fe₃O₄-containing polymers offer easy recovery and reuse through

magnetic separation, enhancing practical utility for wastewater treatment. [83]

Influencing Parameters:

pH plays a crucial role, with neutral or slightly basic conditions often favored for maximum degradation. Catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, and irradiation time directly affect degradation kinetics, often following Langmuir-Hinshelwood isotherm and pseudo-first order kinetics. Electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding between dye molecules and functional polymer groups improve adsorption and degradation rates. [84]

Applications:

Effective treatment of textile industry effluents containing azo dyes, methylene blue, rhodamine B, and other harmful dyes. Potential integration into advanced oxidation processes and membrane filtration for comprehensive wastewater remediation. Antibacterial properties of certain polymer nanocomposites add an advantage for treating biologically contaminated water. [85-86]

Challenges and Limitations:

Despite promising photocatalytic performance, polymer nanocomposites for dye degradation face several challenges and limitations that restrict their broader application.

Photodegradation and Structural Stability: Polymer components may undergo photodegradation under prolonged light exposure, leading to a loss of structural integrity and reduced ability to facilitate efficient charge transfer. Photocorrosion of semiconductor nanoparticles can also deteriorate catalyst stability and lifespan. [87-94]

Nanoparticle Aggregation and Dispersion: Achieving homogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles within polymer matrices remains difficult. Aggregation of nanoparticles reduces available active sites and surface area, thereby decreasing photocatalytic efficiency. Limited Visible Light

Absorption: Many polymer-metal oxide nanocomposites primarily absorb UV light due to wide bandgap semiconductors like TiO₂, limiting solar light utilization. Strategies to extend absorption to the visible range, such as metal doping or polymer functionalization, add complexity to synthesis. [95]

Recyclability and Catalyst Recovery: While magnetic polymer nanocomposites aid recovery, many nanocomposites face challenges in catalyst separation after treatment, impacting reusability. Loss of catalyst mass and activity over multiple cycles is commonly observed. Scalability and Practical Implementation: Difficulties in large-scale synthesis that maintain reproducibility and controlled properties limit commercialization. Stability in complex real wastewater matrices, which contain multiple pollutants and variable pH, remains insufficiently explored. [96-99]

Environmental and Health Concerns: Potential toxicity of free nanoparticles or degradation by-products must be carefully evaluated. Sustainable and green synthesis routes for polymer nanocomposites are still under development to reduce environmental footprint. Addressing these challenges through advanced material design, green chemistry approaches, and pilot-scale studies is critical for realizing the

practical deployment of polymer nanocomposite technologies in wastewater treatment [100-115].

II. Conclusions

Polymer nanocomposites have demonstrated significant potential as efficient, stable, and versatile photocatalysts for the degradation of synthetic dyes in contaminated water systems. Their hybrid structures provide a unique combination of adsorption capacity, photoactivity, and charge-transfer efficiency, enabling effective mineralization of complex organic pollutants. Incorporation of conducting and biopolymeric components has further enhanced visible light utilization, photostability, and reusability, underscoring their superiority over conventional semiconductor photocatalysts. Nevertheless, persistent challenges such as nanoparticle agglomeration, photodegradation of polymer matrices, limited visible light absorption, and scalability constraints must be addressed to facilitate real-world application. Future research should prioritize the rational design of biodegradable, magnetically recoverable, and structurally robust nanocomposites, along with the adoption of environmentally benign synthesis approaches. Advanced hybrid architectures utilizing doped semiconductors, conductive polymers, and biopolymer supports are expected to accelerate the transition of these materials from laboratory-scale studies to practical wastewater treatment technologies, contributing substantially to sustainable environmental remediation.

A. Acknowledgment:

Dr. Vikram Uttam Pandit would like to take this opportunity to dedicate this work to Professor Dr. Shivajirao Pandit on the occasion of his retirement on 31st May 2025, which will mark the culmination of a distinguished teaching career in the field of chemistry that spanned 35 years. He conveys his profound appreciation for the fact that you are a consistent source of motivation. In addition, Dr. Pandit would like to express his deepest gratitude to Shri Rajeshbhai Shah, Chairman of The PGK Mandal, Shri Hemantbhai Maniar, Secretary, and Joint Secretary Shri Dilipbhai Jagad for their assistance in providing laboratory facilities. One other distinguished personality who deserves special recognition is Shri Rajendra Gurao, who serves as the Principal of the Haribhai V. Desai College of Arts, Science, and Commerce (Autonomous) in Pune, India. He has provided invaluable assistance and support throughout this process.

References:

1. Rehman J, et al. Interconnects for Flexible and Printed Electronic Applications. IEEE International Conference on Flexible and Printable Sensors and Systems (FLEPS) 2019:1–. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FLEPS.2019.8792284>
2. Wang Z, et al. Application of MOFs driven by various energy sources for degradation the organic pollutants in water: A review. *Coord Chem Rev* 2024; 499:215506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2023.215506>
3. Farid MF, et al. In situ synthesis of manganese oxide/iron oxide/polyaniline composite catalyst for oxygen evolution reaction. *J Mater Res* 2024:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43578-024-01286-9>
4. Gusain R, et al. Adsorptive removal and photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants using metal oxides and their composites: A comprehensive review. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 2019;272:102009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2019.102009>
5. Carvalho M, et al. Assessment of the biodegradability of a monosulfonated azo dye and aromatic amines. *Int Biodeter Biodegr* 2008;62(2):96–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2007.12.008>
6. Safri AA, et al. Bacterial Disinfection Using Polymer Based Hybrids. *Key Eng Mater* 2018;778:331–5. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.778.331>
7. Guerra FD, et al. Nanotechnology for environmental remediation: materials and applications. *Molecules* 2018;23(7):1760. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071760>
8. Couto SR. Dye removal by immobilised fungi. *Biotechnol Adv* 2009;27(3): 227–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2008.12.001>
9. Hussain I, Amara U, Bibi F, Hanan A, Lakhan MN, Soomro IA, et al. Mo-based MXenes: Synthesis, properties, and applications. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 2024: 103077. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2023.103077>
10. Shaheen I, Ali I, Bibi F, Hanan A, Ahmad M, Hussain I, et al. Integrating 1D/2D nanostructure based on Ni–Co-oxalate for energy storage applications. *Ceram Int* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.12.394>
11. ur Rehman J, et al. Conventional versus Flexible Substrates for Dye Sensitized and Perovskite Type Photo Voltaic Solar Cells. IEEE International Conference on Flexible and Printable Sensors and Systems (FLEPS) 2019:1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FLEPS.2019.8792296>
12. Fei T, Ahmad T, Usman M, Ahmad A, Saleem A, Hanif MB, et al. Zn-doped Cr2O3 oxides boosted the electrochemical performance of aqueous hybrid supercapacitor. *Electrochim Acta* 2024;476:143673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2023.143673>
13. Mehran MT, Khan MZ, Song RH, Lim TH, Naqvi M, Raza R, et al. A comprehensive review on durability improvement of solid oxide fuel cells for commercial stationary power generation systems. *Appl Energy*

- 2023;352:121864.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2023.121864>
14. Ali HG, Khan K, Hanif MB, Khan MZ, Hussain I, Javed MS, et al. Advancements in two-dimensional materials as anodes for lithium-ion batteries: Exploring composition-structure-property relationships emerging trends, and future perspective. *J Energy Storage* 2023;73:108980.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2023.108980>
 15. Shaheen I, Hussain I, Zahra T, Javed MS, Shah SSA, Khan K, et al. Recent advancements in metal oxides for energy storage materials: design, classification, and electrodes configuration of supercapacitor. *J Energy Storage* 2023;72: 108719.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2023.108719>
 16. Ekeoma BC, et al. Recent advances in the biocatalytic mitigation of emerging pollutants: a comprehensive review. *J Biotechnol* 2023.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2023.05.003>
 17. Akhter P, et al. TiO₂ decorated CNTs nanocomposite for efficient photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue. *Diam Relat Mater* 2024;141:110702.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diamond.2023.110702>
 18. Mondal S. Methods of dye removal from dye house effluent—an overview. *Environ Eng Sci* 2008;25(3):383–96. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2007.0049>
 19. Oladoye PO, et al. Methylene blue dye: Toxicity and potential technologies for elimination from (waste) water. *Results Eng* 2022:100678.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2022.100678>
 20. Titchou FE, et al. Removal of cationic dye from aqueous solution using Moroccan pozzolana as adsorbent: isotherms, kinetic studies, and application on real textile wastewater treatment. *Groundw Sustain Dev* 2020;11:100405.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2020.100405>
 21. Haruna A, Abdulkadir I, Idris SO. Visible light induced photodegradation of methylene blue in sodium doped bismuth barium ferrite nanoparticle synthesized by sol-gel method. *Avicenna J Environ Health Eng* 2018;5(2):120–6. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ajehe.2018.16>
 22. Haruna A, Abdulkadir I, Idris SO. Effect of annealing temperature on the synthesis and photocatalytic properties of Bi_{0.65}K_{0.2}Ba_{0.15}FeO₃ perovskite-like nanoparticle synthesized by sol-gel method. *Beni-Suef Univ J Basic Appl Sci* 2020; 9(1):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-020-0033-9>
 23. Ding Z, et al. Magnetically recyclable Ag/TiO₂ co-decorated magnetic silica composite for photodegradation of dibutyl phthalate with fluorescent lamps. *Water Sci Technol* 2020; 81(4):790–800.
<https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2020.162>
 24. Latif Z, et al. Carbon quantum dots (CQDs) modified polymers: a mini review of non-optical applications. *Nanoscale* 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3NR04997C>
 25. Rashid AB, et al. Nanotechnology-enhanced fiber-reinforced polymer composites: Recent advancements on processing techniques and applications. *Heliyon* 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24692>
 26. Sen Gupta R, Samantaray PK, Bose S. Going beyond cellulose and chitosan: synthetic biodegradable membranes for drinking water, wastewater, and oil- water remediation. *ACS Omega* 2023;8(28):24695–717.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c01699>
 27. Chadha U, et al. A review of the function of using carbon nanomaterials in membrane filtration for contaminant removal from wastewater. *Mater Res Express* 2022;9(1):012003. DOI 10.1088/2053-1591/ac48b8
 28. Abuhantash F, et al. Hydrophilic, oleophilic and switchable Janus mixed matrix membranes for oily wastewater treatment: A review. *J Water Process Eng* 2023; 56:104310.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2023.104310>
 29. Mautner A, et al. Nitrate removal from water using a nanopaper ion-exchanger. *Environ Sci Water Res Technol* 2016;2(1):117–24. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EW00139K>
 30. Nouri A, et al. Polymer-based nanocomposites for environmental remediation. In: *Functional hybrid nanomaterials for environmental remediation*. The Royal Society of Chemistry; 2021. p. 101–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/9781839165283-00101>
 31. Nasrollahzadeh M, et al. Recent developments in palladium (nano) catalysts supported on polymers for selective and sustainable oxidation processes. *Coord Chem Rev* 2019;397:54–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2019.06.010>
 32. Li J, et al. Cu supported on polymeric carbon nitride for selective CO₂ reduction into CH₄: a combined kinetics and thermodynamics investigation. *J Mater Chem A* 2019;7(28):17014–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C9TA05112K>
 33. Haruna A, Abdulkadir I, Idris S. Photocatalytic activity and doping effects of BiFeO₃ nanoparticles in model

- organic dyes. *Heliyon* 2020;6(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03237>
34. Gaur A, et al. Degradation of organic dyes by utilizing CaCu₃Ti₄O₁₂ (CCTO) nanoparticles via tribocatalysis process. *J Ind Eng Chem* 2024;129:341–51. 16 S. Muzammal et al. *Energy Conversion and Management: X* 22 (2024) 100547
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2023.08.048>
35. Gao J, Hanif MB, Zhang H, Motola M, Li C. X. Recent advances in microstructural control via thermal spraying for solid oxide fuel cells. *Chem Eng J* 2023;147352.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2023.147352>
36. Hayat M, Zhou Y, Shah MZU, Hanif MB, Hou H, Arif U, et al. Exploring the electrochemical properties of CuSe-decorated NiSe₂ nanocubes for battery- supercapacitor hybrid devices. *Chemosphere* 2023;340:139720.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139720>
37. Liapun V, Hanif MB, Sihor M, Vislocka X, Pandiaraj S, Unnikrishnan VK, et al. Versatile application of BiVO₄/TiO₂ S-scheme photocatalyst: Photocatalytic CO₂ and Cr (VI) reduction. *Chemosphere* 2023;337:139397.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139397>
38. Thirunavukkarasu GK, Hanif MB, Liapun V, Hensel K, Kupčik J, Lorincik J, et al. Decrypting the growth of anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers in eco-friendly fluoride-free nitrate-based electrolyte for enhanced photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants. *Mater Res Bull* 2023;165:112322.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2023.112322>
39. Hanif MB, Thirunavukkarasu GK, Liapun V, Makarov H, Gregor M, Roch T, et al. Fluoride-free synthesis of anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers: A promising environmentally friendly method for efficient photocatalysts. *Nanoscale* 2022;14 (32):11703–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/D2NR03379H>
40. Sihor M, Hanif MB, Thirunavukkarasu GK, Liapun V, Edelmannova MF, Roch T, et al. Anodization of large area Ti: a versatile material for caffeine photodegradation and hydrogen production. *Cat Sci Technol* 2022;12(16): 5045–52. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D2CY00593J>
41. Hanif MB, Rauf S, Qayyum S, Sihor M, Motola M. Investigating the effect of rGO on microstructural and electrical properties of La_{0.9}Sr_{0.1}Ga_{0.8}Mg_{0.2}O₃ in intermediate temperature SOFCs. *Sustainable Energy Fuel* 2022;6(14):3465–76.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/D2SE00746K>
42. Thirunavukkarasu GK, Bacova J, Monfort O, Dworniczek E, Paluch E, Hanif MB, et al. Critical comparison of aerogel TiO₂ and P25 nanopowders: Cytotoxic properties, photocatalytic activity and photoinduced antimicrobial/antibiofilm performance. *Appl Surf Sci* 2022;579:152145.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.152145>
43. Khan K, Fu B, Xin H, Beshiwork BA, Hanif MB, Wu J, Wu M. Composite polymer electrolyte incorporating WO₃ nanofillers with enhanced performance for dendrite-free solid-state lithium battery. *Ceram Int* 2023;49(3):4473–81.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2022.09.333>
44. Cheema DA, Danial MO, Hanif MB, Alghamdi AS, Ramadan M, Khaliq A, et al. Intrinsic properties and future perspective of HfO₂/V₂O₅/HfO₂ multi-layer thin films via E-beam evaporation as a transparent heat mirror. *Coatings* 2022;12(4): 448.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings12040448>
45. Bai H, et al. MgAl₂O₄ incorporated catalytic ceramic membrane for catalytic ozonation of organic pollutants. *Appl Catal B* 2024;343:123527.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2023.123527>
46. Mageshwari K, et al. Template-free synthesis of MgO nanoparticles for effective photocatalytic applications. *Powder Technol* 2013;249:456–62.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2013.09.016>
47. Salehifar N, Zarghami Z, Ramezani M. A facile, novel and low-temperature synthesis of MgO nanorods via thermal decomposition using new starting reagent and its photocatalytic activity evaluation. *Mater Lett* 2016;167:226–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2016.01.015>
48. Peng Q, et al. High-efficiency catalysis of peroxymonosulfate by MgO for the degradation of organic pollutants. *Minerals* 2019;10(1):2.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/min10010002>
49. Raveesha H, et al. The electrochemical behavior, antifungal and cytotoxic activities of phytofabricated MgO nanoparticles using *Withania somnifera* leaf extract. *J Sci: Adv Mater Devices* 2019;4(1):57–65.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.01.003>
50. Giahhi M, Rahbar A, Mehdizadeh K. Photochemical degradation of an environmental pollutant by pure ZnO and MgO doped ZnO nanocatalysts. *Iran J Chem Chem Eng* 2021;40(1):83–91.
<https://doi.org/10.30492/ijcce.2019.36825>

51. Berradi M, et al. Textile finishing dyes and their impact on aquatic environs. *Heliyon* 2019;5(11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02711>
52. Khalid N, et al. Enhanced photocatalytic activity of graphene–TiO₂ composite under visible light irradiation. *Curr Appl Phys* 2013;13(4):659–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cap.2012.11.003>
53. Khalid N, et al. TiO₂-graphene-based composites: Synthesis, characterization, and application in photocatalysis of organic pollutants. *Micro Nanomanuf* 2018, 95–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67132-1_5
54. Lee E, et al. Synthesis of TiO₂ nanorod-decorated graphene sheets and their highly efficient photocatalytic activities under visible-light irradiation. *J Hazard Mater* 2012;219:13–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.12.033>
55. Yasasve M, et al. Unravelling the emerging carcinogenic contaminants from industrial waste water for prospective remediation by electrocoagulation—a review. *Chemosphere* 2022;136017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.136017>
56. Han T, et al. Comprehensive insights into core microbial assemblages in activated sludge exposed to textile-dyeing wastewater stress. *Sci Total Environ* 2021;791: 148145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148145>
57. Tran UNT, et al. Characterization of self-dyed silk yarn with Rhodamine B dye for fashion applications. *Int J Cloth Sci Technol* 2023;35(3):477–92. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCST-10-2022-0147>
58. Khalid N, et al. Synergistic effects of Fe and graphene on photocatalytic activity enhancement of TiO₂ under visible light. *Appl Surf Sci* 2012;258(15):5827–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2012.02.110>
59. Nasir A, et al. Review on the progress and future of TiO₂/graphene photocatalysts. *Energies* 2022;15:6248. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15176248>
60. Hou C, Hu B, Zhu J. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue over TiO₂ pretreated with varying concentrations of NaOH. *Catalysts* 2018;8(12):575. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal8120575>
61. Balarak D, et al. Application of surfactant-modified bentonite for methylene blue adsorption from aqueous solution. *Orient J Chem* 2020;36(2):293. <https://doi.org/10.13005/ojc/360212>
62. Arias Arias F, et al. The adsorption of methylene blue on eco-friendly reduced graphene oxide. *Nanomaterials* 2020;10(4):681. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10040681>
63. Siddeeg SM, et al. Iron oxide/chitosan magnetic nanocomposite immobilized manganese peroxidase for decolorization of textile wastewater. *Processes* 2019;8(1):5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8010005>
64. Manimohan M, et al. Synthesis and characterisation of novel Cu (II)-anchored biopolymer complexes as reusable materials for the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue. *RSC Adv* 2020;10(31):18259–79. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ra01724h>
65. Giannakopoulou PP, et al. Does the methylene blue test give equally satisfactory results in all studied igneous rocks relative to the identification of swelling clay minerals? *Minerals* 2020;10(3):283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/min10030283>
66. Dante RC, et al. Methylene blue-carbon nitride system as a reusable air-sensor. *Mater Chem Phys* 2019;231:351–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.04.031>
67. Khan K, et al. Design of efficient and durable symmetrical protonic ceramic fuel cells at intermediate temperatures via B-site doping of Ni in BaCe_{0.56}Zr_{0.2}Ni_{0.04}Y_{0.2}O_{3-δ}. *Ceramics International* 2023;49(11):16826–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.02.043>
68. Bayomie OS, et al. Novel approach for effective removal of methylene blue dye from water using fava bean peel waste. *Sci Rep* 2020;10(1):7824. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64727-5>
69. Sun L, et al. Oxidative degradation of methylene blue via PDS-based advanced oxidation process using natural pyrite. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2019;16(23):4773. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16234773>
70. Contreras M, et al. Bio-removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution by *Galactomyces geotrichum* KL20A. *Water* 2019;11(2):282. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11020282>
71. Yaqub A, et al. Activated carbon derived from *Dodonaea Viscosa* into beads of calcium-alginate for the sorption of methylene blue (MB): Kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamics. *J Environ Manage* 2023;327:116925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116925>
72. Gillman P. Methylene blue implicated in potentially fatal serotonin toxicity. *Anaesthesia* 2006;61(10):1013–4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2044.2006.04808.x>

73. Shakoor S, Nasar A. Adsorptive treatment of hazardous methylene blue dye from artificially contaminated water using cucumis sativus peel waste as a low-cost adsorbent. *Groundw Sustain Dev* 2017;5:152–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2017.06.005>
74. Setiadi T, Andriani Y, Erlania M. Treatment of textile wastewater by a combination of anaerobic and aerobic processes: A denim processing plant case. *Proc Southeast Asian Water Environ* 2006;1.
75. Hassan MM, Carr CM. A critical review on recent advancements of the removal of reactive dyes from dyehouse effluent by ion-exchange adsorbents. *Chemosphere* 2018;209:201–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.06.043>
76. Imran M, et al. Microbial biotechnology for decolorization of textile wastewaters. *Rev Environ Sci Bio/technol* 2015;14:73–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-014-9344-4>
77. Li J, Wu N. Semiconductor-based photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells for solar fuel generation: a review. *Cat Sci Technol* 2015;5(3):1360–84. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CY00974F>
78. Gershon T, et al. The role of sodium as a surfactant and suppressor of non-radiative recombination at internal surfaces in Cu₂ZnSnS₄. *Adv Energy Mater* 2015;5(2):1400849. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201400849>
79. Nethercot Jr AH. Prediction of Fermi energies and photoelectric thresholds based on electronegativity concepts. *Phys Rev Lett* 1974;33(18):1088. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.1088>
80. Fujishima A, Zhang X. Titanium dioxide photocatalysis: present situation and future approaches. *C R Chim* 2006;9(5–6):750–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2005.02.055>
81. Luo J, et al. Reveal Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi relation and attack mechanisms of reactive oxygen species for photocatalytic H₂O₂ production. *Appl Catal B* 2022; 301:120757. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120757>
82. Khan ZUH, et al. Removal of organic pollutants through hydroxyl radical-based advanced oxidation processes. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 2023;267:115564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2023.115564>
83. Grela M, Coronel M, Colussi A. Quantitative spin-trapping studies of weakly illuminated titanium dioxide sols. Implications for the mechanism of photocatalysis. *J Phys Chem* 1996;100(42):16940–6. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp953562r>
84. McCormick WJ, et al. Enhanced monitoring of photocatalytic reactive oxygen species: using electrochemistry for rapid sensing of hydroxyl radicals formed during the degradation of coumarin. *Chem A Eur J* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.3c00741>
85. Khan H, Shah MUH. Modification strategies of TiO₂ based photocatalysts for enhanced visible light activity and energy storage ability: a review. *J Environ Chem Eng* 2023;111532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2023.111532>
86. Dufner L, et al. Immobilization of TiO₂ photocatalysts for water treatment in geopolymer based coatings. *Catalysts* 2023;13(5):898. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal13050898>
87. Dong K, et al. Noble-metal-free electrocatalysts toward H₂O₂ production. *J Mater Chem A* 2020;8(44):23123–41. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TA08894C>
88. Lousada CM, et al. Mechanism of H₂O₂ decomposition on transition metal oxide surfaces. *J Phys Chem C* 2012;116(17):9533–43. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp300255h>
89. Wang Y, et al. Singlet oxygen: Properties, generation, detection, and environmental applications. *J Hazard Mater* 2023:132538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.132538>
90. Nosaka Y, et al. Singlet oxygen formation in photocatalytic TiO₂ aqueous suspension. *PCCP* 2004;6(11):2917–8. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B405084C>
91. Konaka R, et al. Ultraviolet irradiation of titanium dioxide in aqueous dispersion generates singlet oxygen. *Redox Rep* 2001;6(5):319–25. <https://doi.org/10.1179/135100001101536463>
92. Bashir F. The detection, elimination and damaging effect of singlet oxygen in the photosynthetic apparatus of plants and microalgae. Hungary: Szegedi Tudományegyetem; 2021.
93. Khan SB, et al. Morphological influence of TiO₂ nanostructures (nanozigzag, nanohelics and nanorod) on photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes. *Appl Surf Sci* 2017;400:184–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.12.172>
94. Mohamed MM, et al. Zinc oxide incorporated carbon nanotubes or graphene oxide nanohybrids for enhanced sonophotocatalytic degradation of methylene blue dye. *Appl Surf Sci* 2019;487:539–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.05.135>

95. Musmade S, et al. Synthesis of shape controlled Cu₂O and Cu₂O/TiO₂-QD composite for degradation of Congo red dye under visible-light irradiation. *J Water Environ Nanotechnol* 2023;8(4):406–16. <https://doi.org/10.22090/jwent.2023.04.007>
96. Sun K, et al. Fabrication of α-Fe₂O₃@ rGO/PAN nanofiber composite membrane for photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes. *Adv Mater Interfaces* 2017;4(24): 1700845. <https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.201700845>
97. Kaushal S, et al. Photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline antibiotic and organic dyes using biogenic synthesized CuO/Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite: Pathways and mechanism insights. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 2023;30(13):37092–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-24848-y>
98. Taourati R, et al. Facile one-step synthesis of highly efficient single oxide nanoparticles for photocatalytic application. *Sci Afr* 2020;8:e00305. 17 S. Muzammal et al. *Energy Conversion and Management: X* 22 (2024) 100547 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2020.e00305>
99. Chankhanittha T, et al. Performance of solvothermally grown Bi₂MoO₆ photocatalyst toward degradation of organic azo dyes and fluoroquinolone antibiotics. *Mater Lett* 2020;258:126764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2019.126764>
100. Chauhan M, et al. Proficient photocatalytic and sonocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants using CuO nanoparticles. *J Nanomater* 2020;2020:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6123178>
101. Saravan RS, et al. Evaluation of the photocatalytic efficiency of cobalt oxide nanoparticles towards the degradation of crystal violet and methylene violet dyes. *Optik* 2020;207:164428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2020.164428>
102. Ikram M, et al. Graphene oxide-doped MgO nanostructures for highly efficient dye degradation and bactericidal action. *Nanoscale Res Lett* 2021;16:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11671-021-03516-z>
103. Seidi F, et al. Synthesis of hybrid materials using graft copolymerization on non-cellulosic polysaccharides via homogenous ATRP. *Prog Polym Sci* 2018;76:1–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2017.07.006>
104. Qamar SA, et al. Alginate-based nano-adsorbent materials—Bioinspired solution to mitigate hazardous environmental pollutants. *Chemosphere* 2022;288:132618. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132618>
105. Jayakumar R, et al. Sulfated chitin and chitosan as novel biomaterials. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2007;40(3):175–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2006.06.021>
106. Woggum T, Sirivongpaisal P, Wittaya T. Properties and characteristics of dual-modified rice starch based biodegradable films. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2014;67: 490–502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.03.029>
107. Lone IH, Kowsalya E, Rebecca LJ. Alginate fiber from brown algae. *Pharm Lett* 2016;8(8):68–71.
108. Moran JI, Vazquez A, Cyras VP. Bio-nanocomposites based on derivatized potato starch and cellulose, preparation and characterization. *J Mater Sci* 2013;48: 7196–203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-013-7536-x>
109. Loos M. Carbon nanotube reinforced composites: CNT Polymer Science and Technology. Elsevier; 2014. DOI: 10.1016/C2012-0-06123-6
110. Fang W, et al. Manufacture and application of lignin-based carbon fibers (LCFs) and lignin-based carbon nanofibers (LCNFs). *Green Chem* 2017;19(8):1794–827. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6GC03206K>
111. Wypych A. Databook of plasticizers. Elsevier; 2023.
112. Fei T, et al. Effective recovery of poly-β-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) biopolymer from C upriavidus necator using a novel and environmentally friendly solvent system. *Biotechnol Prog* 2016;32(3):678–85. <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2247>
113. V. R. Uttam Pandit, G. K. Parshuram Jadhav, V. M. Sakharam Jawale, R. Dubepatil, R. Gurao and D. J. Late, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 29099–29105. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4RA04575K>
114. V. Jawale, G. Gugale, M. Chaskar, S. Pandit, R. Pawar, S. Suryawanshi, V. Pandit, G. Umarji and S. Arbuj, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2021, 36, 1573–1583. <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43578-021-00174-w>
115. V. U. Pandit, S. S. Arbuj, U. P. Mulik and B. B. Kale, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, 48, 4178–4183. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es405150p>