ISSN: 2716-9189 E-ISSN: 2676-1750
Journal of Studies in Language, Culture, and Society (JSLCS). (08) 01, 2025 (PP. 15-29)
Received: 23/12/2023 Accepted: 07/04/2025 Published: 30/04/2025

THE AI EVOLUTION IN HIGHER EDUCATION: ENHANCING TEACHING WITH CHATGPT

Houda Boumediene [1]
[1] **AILE Laboratory, Laghouat University**
h.boumediene@lagh-univ.dz

Abstract: ChatGPT has been creating ripples and has highly polarized teachers, researchers, and institutions of education regarding its revolutionization of learning. ChatGPT has the potential to reinforce the strengths of digital technologies if it is well incorporated into learning and teaching. ChatGPT offers tailored, adaptive support to students and teachers as a wise learning assistant. Its inappropriate use has dire implications regarding academic integrity and the practice of assessment. The attitudes, concerns, and expectations of educators are the biggest deciders on whether or not such a tool can be effectively used in a learning environment. The present research is an endeavor to analyze university teachers' views at the University of Laghouat, Algeria, regarding opportunities and challenges in using ChatGPT in teaching. Survey findings indicated that most professors reported favorable attitudes towards the integration of ChatGPT into their instructional practice (61.2%). The most significant advantages mentioned by professors include the ability of the AI tool to automate routine tasks (72.5%), the facilitation of student engagement (65.3%), and the promotion of critical thinking and creativity (58.4%). Yet, concerns are strong: 83.2% of the participants are concerned that students would rely too much on ChatGPT without verifying the originality of the generated content, to the exclusion of learning required knowledge and skills.These results affirm the necessity of having extremely sensitive integration approaches, drawing on the potential of ChatGPT to enhance teaching and learning as well as mitigate its pitfalls. It is only through the incorporation of digital competencies and the establishment of ethical guidelines that institutions can guarantee that the utilization of ChatGPT in teaching is effective and ethical.

***Keywords**:* Artificial Intelligence (AI), ChatGPT, digital competencies, higher education, risks.

How to cite the article :
Boumediene, H. (2025). The AI Evolution in Higher Education: Enhancing Teaching with ChatGPT. *Journal of Studies in Language, Culture, and Society (JSLCS), 8*(1), 15-29

[1] **Corresponding author:** Houda Boumediene, **ORCID iD:** https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3264-7867

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, ChatGPT has been a hotly debated subject among major media outlets, creating widespread interest because of its applications in artificial intelligence (AI) for various industries in public and professional life. Its popularity is quite more a reflection of the Augmented Reality (AR) phenomenon triggered by Pokémon Go, which has transformed the adoption of AR technologies across a broad spectrum of industries, including education. Ever since November 2022, ChatGPT has been instigating tremendous revolution in popularity of massive user bases, instructors, and researchers. The majority of discussion ongoing these days revolves around how the tool would be useful in teaching, along with challenges and threats regarding the tool and changes it would induce in the real world of teaching and learning.

Empirically, though, limited work has been done so far on the uses of ChatGPT for educational purposes as it is a new market player. Though initial observations point towards greater enthusiasm and interest on the part of the students and the teachers, more long-term implications on knowledge creation, skill development, and employability are yet to be ascertained.

Longitudinal research is usually needed to study the efficiency of emerging technologies in learning or teaching processes; ChatGPT research will not be an exception here.

The last years have made it very relevant to implement adaptive and personalized learning tools in instruction. Such platforms as ChatGPT based on artificial intelligence enable the personalization of learning experiences according to individual interests, needs, and abilities, which can enhance the motivation and engagement of learners. But successful utilization demands judicious matching with certain learning goals and precise requirements of the learning environments. Only the trend of using such tools without proper thought over how to implement them may not yield firm results.

The growth of AI instruments, of which ChatGPT just representative, also created apprehension is regarding what the tools may do in terms of taking over the teacher's role. Whereas ChatGPT can be applied to facilitate simplification and automating of some boilerplate teacher work in the process of quiz creation, instant response from students, and the generation of personalized learning content, it will be a supplement to the teacher's tool kit—not a substitute. Teachers are teachers, delivering multifaceted guidance and creating rich understanding and making decisions that are greater than technological.

Concurrent with this is the application of Generative AI (GenAI) in generating scholarship that has also raised some urgent issues regarding the validity and credibility of conventional assessment methods. The issues would require new assessment methods for novel tasks that are challenging for an AI system to accomplish. Overdependence on AI in problem-solving and assignment fulfillment also has the potential to erode critical thinking and problem-solving skills, leading to weaknesses in academic and professional growth.

Lastly, the success of integrating AI tools such as ChatGPT in education will depend on attitudes and receptivity among teachers and students alike. While it might be seen to have positive implications, instilling a balanced approach for its deployment will involve recognition of its shortcomings, commitment to ethical usage, and careful planning to reconcile its likely benefits with educational intentions.

1.1. Objectives

This study explores the potential benefits and challenges of integrating ChatGPT into educational practices, along with the risks and ethical concerns associated with its misuse. It emphasizes the importance of educators' attitudes, which are one of the major determinants in how effective ChatGPT can be as a tool for improving the processes of teaching and learning.

In order to achieve this objective, a survey was administered to university professors at the University of Laghouat in Algeria. They were asked if they were familiar with ChatGPT, how often they used it in their daily activities, and what purposes they used it for. This paper discusses how professors perceive the role that ChatGPT plays or will play in their practice of teaching, along with their worries and fears from using it as a (GenAI) tool.

1.2. Research Questions

1. How aware are the professors at the University of Laghouat about the capabilities of ChatGPT?
2. What are the perceptions of university teachers about the advantages and limitations of using ChatGPT in instruction at the University of Laghouat?
3. Will the University of Laghouat professors use ChatGPT in practice and what are their expectations of using it?

From these questions, the research offers implications of opportunities and constraints of utilizing ChatGPT in learning environments and informs a clearer understanding of its potential for transforming practice at the University of Laghouat and elsewhere.

2. Literature Review

2.1. The Language Model: ChatGPT

Artificial intelligence (AI) is defined by a European Parliament report (2021) as “a system that is either software-based or embedded in hardware devices, and that displays behavior simulating intelligence by, inter alia, collecting and processing data, analyzing and interpreting its environment, and by taking action, with some degree of autonomy, to achieve specific goals” . AI is the branch of science concerned with computer programming, robots, and other software systems that are designed to perform tasks simulating human intelligence. For this to come into being, one truly needs to understand how the human brain works, just as people learn and decide, and how they can solve problems by using knowledge in designing intelligent software. (Chen et al,.2023)

AI systems can emulate or even surpass human-level cognition in areas such as perception, understanding language, reasoning, problem-solving, and creativity (Lo, 2023). To make the most out of AI technologies, one needs to distinguish between important concepts and terminology, including machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing (NLP), the latter being the category under which ChatGPT falls (Ausat et al., 2023). A chatbot, for instance, is a computer program employed to mimic human-like discussion relying on user inputs; it has normal conversations utilizing text or verbal language (Boumediene & Bouakkaz, 2024). OpenAI's ChatGPT is an advanced language model in which humans can engage in natural discussion with computers.

Since it is a GenAI model, it creates novel responses and outputs (Chan et al., 2023). ChatGPT is trained with the Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback approach in which reward models are constructed to improve it constantly with Proximal Policy Optimization.It operates in a loop generally with the below three general steps.

Among its most significant features, it can be combined with plugins to assist in retrieving real-time data, making complicated calculations, and communicating with third-party services (Opara et al., 2023)

. Although ChatGPT is one of the major NLP models, it is not the only model that understands and generates natural human-like conversations.

For instance, in February 2023, Google came out with Bard based on LaMDA's framework (Language Models for Dialog Applications). Even though ChatGPT and Bard are utilized with comparable traits, they vary based on aspects such as token number, token maximum, conversation retention, user interaction, and response accuracy (Lo, 2023). In the current study, however, ChatGPT alone will be focused upon, studying its traits and application in detail.

2.2. Benefits of Using ChatGPT in Education

The integration of chatbots in education has tremendous potential to improve the learning environment by providing timely and accurate information while reducing administrative work for educators (Educational Sciences Report, 2023). More specifically, ChatGPT is an intelligent assistant that can provide students with interactive support at any time and location. It will be capable of responding, structuring information, assisting with exam revision, and even giving feedback—all of which will make the students more interactive in what they learn. Moreover, students can utilize ChatGPT to ask questions and solve problems, improving reading and writing skills through solution discussions and proposals interactively (Opara et al., 2023). As a tutor or a mentor, ChatGPT can make it possible for learners to be motivated and open learning to wider accessibility and interactivity (Chan et al., 2023).

Among the applications of ChatGPT in education, most notable is in foreign language instruction, where it provides students with an opportunity for continuous real-time dialogue. This approach not only relieves learners' anxieties but also piques interest in language acquisition due to its authentic dialogues and realistic examples of using the language. These practices result in more immersive, engaging learning experiences (Boumediene & Bouakkaz, 2024).

ChatGPT is particularly useful in the domain of technical education in creating accurate, easy-to-understand explanations and error-free code. This has many uses in learning algorithmic concepts, debugging, and code optimization; thus, saving time and improving understanding.

Teachers also get many benefits from the functions of ChatGPT. It saves time by creating lesson plans, quizzes, assignments, and assessment materials that can be automated and that enables educators to focus on course design and pedagogy. It may help inexperienced teachers to be used as a starting point in lesson planning, while more experienced educators take advantage of the tool as an ideation facilitator in generating ideas for lectures, workshops, and practical activities (Chan et al., 2023). Moreover, ChatGPT supports the assessment process by giving personalized feedback, grading assignments, and improvement suggestions to students in order to reduce the administrative burden on educators (Lo, 2023).

In contrast to the conventional search engines, where one is left with long lists of links to browse through, ChatGPT takes one straight in front of to-the-point information, thus simplifying the process of searching, analyzing, and systematizing. This manner, one gets ample time for checking the genuineness of information as well as critical examination of content obtained (Chan et al., 2023).

This is what occurs when ChatGPT is used for learning: The software will stimulate critical thinking and creative thinking, i.e., argument on AI-created content by asking students to present, defend, and argue their points based on evidence and references. It enables adaptive and personalized learning in the form of student behavior analysis and creating personalized resources and activities based on their learning requirements and student styles.

Teachers can track progress, learn areas of difficulty, and step in if time to guide the learners appropriately (Opara et al., 2023).

Instant and personalized feedback from ChatGPT is especially beneficial for large student populations, enabling students to rectify misconceptions and clear concepts in real-time. This approach facilitates individual learning pathways, high interest, motivation, and general success. It is more useful for students with special needs since it enables individual support and inclusive learning (Lo, 2023).

Additionally, ChatGPT provides students with the digital literacy that is required to succeed in today's world. By setting strong prompts, task operationalization, and instructions, students can effectively interact with AI technology and, therefore, unlock doors to a technologically driven society (Opara et al., 2023). ChatGPT similarly has great scope for changing learning and enabling creative opportunities for custom learning, unbundling workload from teachers, and enhanced students' participation and performance. Nevertheless, its deployment must be effectively mixed to achieve its maximum benefits and adapt to new issues.

2.3. Challenges of Using ChatGPT in Education

Similar to all new technology that is brought into education hastily, ChatGPT also has several problems and challenges for teachers. The first main problem is that since ChatGPT is a GAI program, it generates text whose authenticity cannot be confirmed. ChatGPT does not query information like a search engine would; it creates answers sounding authentic based on what it has been trained on. This ability enhances the possibility of inappropriate or misleading answers, which could lead to misinformation and display a biased representation of concepts (Deng et al., 2023). Furthermore, training data for ChatGPT itself also can either be biased or discriminatory in kind, which subconsciously could become vulnerable to the generated material and make it harder to trace its authenticity (Ausat et al., 2023). Its 2021 cutoff further restricts it to offering new or recent information regarding popular topics (Opara et al., 2023).

Over-reliance on tools such as ChatGPT is a hindrance for students to gain appropriate knowledge and skill. If students already have prepared answers or employ ChatGPT to create entire texts, they are more than happy to avoid engaging in gaining problem-solving and critical thinking skills. Over-reliance might hinder them from learning basic concepts, thus developing knowledge gaps and decreasing their capacity to work on higher-level tasks (Deng et al., 2023). Inadequate human interaction in learning activities can also reduce the quality and richness of learning for students, perhaps rendering it less stimulating and effective (Ausat et al., 2023).

ChatGPT also undermines the authenticity and integrity of test procedures, especially written tests. Its capacity to generate quality paraphrased work that cannot be detected as plagiarized is an academic dishonesty issue. Most students will present work generated by AI without consideration or proper citation, which compromises the purpose of such tests (Opara et al., 2023).This has led to a few universities prohibiting the use of ChatGPT, while others have opted to revise and re-design assessment processes away from the conventional essay-based methods (Deng et al., 2023).

The second issue is the lack of balance in the effect on the performance of students. Students who use ChatGPT to write academic papers might do better than other students who use effort and ability without AI technology, resulting in disparity in exam scores. Such imbalance affects the mood and morale of students negatively because they feel disadvantaged compared to their peers who use AI technology (Opara et al., 2023).

There is a privacy and ethical issue involved with the use of ChatGPT. The program needs data collection and processing, hence requiring issues of data misuse and security. ChatGPT is also unable to ascertain a person's age; therefore, it can expose a young learner to obscene or inappropriate content in the event of its use (Ausat et al., 2023).

Finally, accessibility can also be a problem. There can be censors or technical restrictions by some governments and thus make the tool unavailable in certain regions. In addition, differences in internet access, the rate of connection, and cost may introduce inequalities that will interfere with the ability of some students to access and use the tool accordingly (Deng et al., 2023). These constraints call for cautious and informed use of ChatGPT in education. More adequate emphasis must be directed towards ethics concerns, access equity, and creating proper assessment methods that are a way of minimizing such constraints.

3. Methodology

This is a mixed-method study, covering both qualitative and quantitative data collection techniques, in the investigation of university educators' perceptions about using ChatGPT in education. The methodology is designed in a way that enables an all-encompassing understanding of the opportunities and challenges associated with integrating ChatGPT into teaching and learning practices.

3.1. Research Design

This is a survey-based descriptive research design targeting university professors at the University of Laghouat, Algeria. This type of design could allow systematic analysis of attitudes, experiences, and perceptions of educators regarding ChatGPT as an educational tool.

3.2. Participants

This research is specifically aimed at professors in the different departments at the University of Laghouat. Participants in the study were selected through purposive sampling to achieve diversity in teaching disciplines and familiarity with technological tools. The research had 100 participants that have experience of more than 5 years in teaching.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

Quantitative Data. A structured questionnaire was designed to capture participants' familiarity with ChatGPT, frequency of use, perceived benefits, and concerns regarding its application in education. The questionnaire included both closed-ended questions using a Likert scale and multiple-choice options for the collection of measurable data.

Qualitative Data. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of participants to gain a deeper understanding of their perceptions of the role that ChatGPT plays in teaching, its possible impact on learning outcomes, and ethical issues arising from the use of the tool. Interviews were recorded and then transcribed for analysis.

3.4. Data Analysis

Quantitative Analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze survey data in order to determine patterns and trends of the professors' attitudes and experiences. Cross-tabulation and frequency distribution methods were used in comparing variations across different demographic groups (e.g., teaching disciplines, years of experience).

Qualitative Analysis. Interviews were recorded and transcribed to thematically analyze repeated themes and nuanced perspectives. Coding was performed either manually or using qualitative analysis software to increase consistency and reliability of the identified themes.

3.5. Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of Laghouat. Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Anonymity and confidentiality were ensured throughout the research process, and data were stored securely.

3.6. Limitations

The study does discuss some limitations: the possibility of bias due to the self-reported nature of the data, and the results may not generalize to other settings outside the University of Laghouat. In addition, since AI tools like ChatGPT are very ephemeral, this is likely to impact educators' perceptions, so future studies should be longitudinal in design.

4. Findings

4.1. Types of AI Tools Usage

At first, the respondents were asked to choose multiple responses and write in their own answers, resulting in cumulative percents that are over 100%. Table 1 summarizes the responses.

The most used AI tools were "Navigation Applications," with 85% of respondents indicating their use. The reason these are so widely used is because they have been around for a while, are useful in everyday life, and are directly applicable to professional activities, such as getting to work or organizing fieldwork. Next were the "Translation Tools," used by 78% of participants, an essential tool in bridge the language gap, especially for academic and research purposes.

Chatbots, including ChatGPT, were selected by 48% of the respondents—a sure sign that these newer AI tools are gaining increasing interest in educational settings. On the other end of the spectrum, "Health Monitoring Tools" and "Virtual Fitness Assistants" had relatively lower adoption rates, at 8% and 5%, respectively. The least used category was "Financial Planning Tools," as reported by 3% of the participants. However, 6% of the respondents indicated that they do not use any AI applications.

Table 1.

Types of AI Tools Usage

AI Tool	Percentage of Respondents (%)
Chatbots (e.g., ChatGPT)	78
Navigation Applications	85
Translation Tools	48
Health Monitoring Tools	8
Virtual Fitness Assistants	5
Financial Planning Tools	3
No AI Applications Use	6

These findings unveil different degrees of engagement with AI technologies, with a clear tilt toward tools that serve more practical and academic purposes. The higher usage of emerging chatbots like ChatGPT proves that these tools are rapidly rising as useful educational and professional resources despite being relatively recent.

4.2. Professors' Usage of ChatGPT

Table 2.

Algerian Professors' Usage of ChatGPT

Usage Purpose	Percentage of Professors (%)
Using it in Teaching activities	35
Exploring its potential	25
Searching for data	20
Generating Ideas	15
Writing texts, essays, papers….	05

The goal of this research is to explore Algerian university professors' perceptions and usage of ChatGPT. Participants were allowed to choose multiple answers, so cumulative percentages are over 100% (Table 2).

A large part of Algerian professors reported "Using it for teaching activities" (35%), reflecting increased acquaintanceship with the pedagogical uses of ChatGPT. Another strong group, "Exploring its potential" (25%), shows the curiosity-based engagement of those wanting to learn more about its functions. Besides, ChatGPT was used for the purpose of "Searching for information" (20%), "Generating ideas" (15%), and "Writing text" (5%); thus, showing some practical applications.

These findings suggest that while ChatGPT has been increasingly integrated into the practices of teaching, most professors are still in an exploratory phase regarding the assessment of its potential benefits and limitations. While it has become accepted for use in simpler tasks such as information retrieval and ideation, its application in more complex academic purposes—like developing instructional materials or assessing student performance makes some educators cautious. This measured approach points out that familiarization with the functionality of ChatGPT and its role in enhancing education is an ongoing process.

4.3. Advantages of ChatGPT

Table 3 presents university lecturers' opinions concerning potential applications of ChatGPT for the improvement of teaching and learning activities. The participants were asked to rate various potential applications of ChatGPT on a five-point Likert scale ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree.

The findings are converted to frequency of response (F), percentage distribution (%), mean scores, and standard deviation (SD). The mean scores provide the general direction of agreement or disagreement to each of the proposed applications, while the standard deviation shows the variability of the responses. The higher the mean scores, the greater the agreement towards the perceived usefulness of ChatGPT, while the larger the standard deviations, the larger the variability of respondents' perception.

The aim of this review is to synthesize trends in professorial opinion and identify areas where ChatGPT is viewed as particularly useful and areas where doubts remain.

1. Organizing Information and Timesaving. Professors largely agreed that ChatGPT would help in structuring information sources and saving time as 42% and 20% strongly agreed. The mean score of 3.9 and relatively low standard deviation of 0.92 reflect positive agreement and minimal response variation.

2. Creating Learning Materials. Many of the respondents found ChatGPT helpful in generating scenarios, materials, and presentations in lectures and practical classes. A joint 50% of professors agreed or strongly agreed to such a prospect, with 28% being neutral. This is indicated by a mean score of 3.6 with a standard deviation of 0.94, indicating a moderate level of variation of opinions.

3. Development of Assessment Tools. ChatGPT application for test question generation, quizzes, and assignment generation elicited mixed views. 36% of them liked it and 9% strongly liked, while 30% of them were indifferent. This might be interpreted as temporary agreement with the mean of 3.5, while the standard deviation of 0.95 shows slightly increased spread.

4. Providing Individualized Feedback. Teachers were relatively positive towards the capability of ChatGPT to provide personalized advice and feedback to students, as 37% endorsed and 15% strongly endorsed. The association is moderate since it can be observed from a mean score of 3.6 with a standard deviation of 0.93 and thus, high response reliability

Table 3.

Benefits of ChatGPT in Teaching and Learning

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean	SD
2	5	18	42	20	87	3.9	0.92
3	6	28	35	15	87	3.6	0.94
4	8	30	36	9	87	3.5	0.95
3	7	25	37	15	87	3.6	0.93

These results underline that professors perceive the usefulness of ChatGPT in saving time, organizing information, and supporting educational activities through the creation of materials and assessments. However, some are still cautious, as reflected by neutral responses. (Table3)

4.4. Issues in Using ChatGPT in Learning and Teaching

Table 4 presents perceptions of university professors on potential risks related to the use of ChatGPT in teaching and learning. Respondents rated identified risks on a five-point Likert scale: "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree." The distribution of answers is summarized using frequencies (F), percentages (%), mean scores, and standard deviation (SD). (Table4)

Table 4.

Risks of Using ChatGPT in Teaching and Learning

Risk	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	Mean	SD
Cheating by students during the preparation of academic assignments.	2	5	20	30	30	87	3.9	0.95
Students rely solely on ChatGPT and learn inaccurate, biased, or misleading information.	1	4	18	35	29	87	3.95	0.90
Risk of personal data collection and potential misuse of sensitive information.	3	9	30	27	18	87	3.6	0.99
Plagiarism or improper use of ChatGPT-generated content by students.	1	8	22	32	42	87	3.7	0.98

1. Cheating in Academic Assignments. The risk of students using ChatGPT to cheat in academic work was widely recognized, with 30% agreeing and another 30% strongly agreeing. The mean score of 3.9 suggests a strong concern, supported by a relatively low standard deviation (0.95), indicating consistent responses. This is an area of serious ethical concern when it comes to the use of AI in education.

2. Learning False or Biased Information. Many respondents were apprehensive about students relying entirely on ChatGPT for information without checking its veracity. A massive 35% agreed, and 29% strongly agreed, producing a high mean score of 3.95. The low standard deviation (0.90) shows board-wide agreement. This risk underlines the need to emphasize students' critical assessment skills when using AI tools.

3. Data Privacy and Misuse of Personal Information. Another issue that arose was the gathering and potential abuse of personal information. Although 30% of respondents neither agreed nor disagreed, a combined 45% (27% agree, 18% strongly agree) saw this as a threat. A mean score of 3.6 and a standard deviation of 0.99 suggests there is moderate concern, with some variability in responses.

4. Plagiarism and Academic Integrity. 32% of respondents agree, while 24% strongly agree with the statement that there would be the possibility of using ChatGPT for plagiarism or any other improper use of AI-generated content. An average rating of 3.7 shows quite a strong concern, while a standard deviation of 0.98 indicates moderate variability in opinions. The finding gives a compelling case for revised academic policies and plagiarism detection approaches.

The results show that professors are quite aware of the risks involved with ChatGPT use, especially those touching on academic integrity and the veracity of information. Cheating in assignments and relying on unverified content were ranked among the most likely risks and showed a wide concern of the ethical and educational impacts AI tools might have on students. Also noted, though to a lesser extent, were data privacy issues—possibly due to the wide variance in familiarity with ChatGPT's data handling practices?

5. Discussion

This research sought to examine Algerian university lecturers' attitudes towards the application of ChatGPT in instruction and discovered a complex blend of interest, hope, and fear. Results echo worldwide trends in attitudes towards AI technologies but also discover distinct nuances in the Algerian educational environment.

5.1.Familiarity and Usage

The study indicates that a significant portion of Algerian professors are familiar with ChatGPT, with many exploring its applications out of curiosity. This suggests a phase of ongoing exploration, where teachers try to understand its features and potential applications. But many teachers use ChatGPT rarely or only if necessary, and that means its implementation is yet to catch on. Such caution is maybe due to a lack of support within institutions, lack of knowledge regarding proper use, or skepticism regarding how useful the tool could be utilized for educational purposes.

5.2. Applications in Teaching and Learning

Researchers were positive about ChatGPT's capabilities to allow the simplification of time-consuming tasks such as the creation of learning scenarios, instructional materials, and quiz exams. Findings are consistent with international research focusing on ChatGPT's capabilities to generate individualized educational materials. In Algeria, under circumstances where the teachers are constrained to work in the absence of sufficient resources and time,

ChatGPT potential would go a long way toward alleviating the constraints of workloads and the efficiency of operating. The personalization capability by ChatGPT in helping and feedback was, however, matched halfway.

This indicates that most teachers do not appreciate its potential or are uncertain if it provides tailored support to students (Deng et al., 2023).

The pros and cons of applying ChatGPT in instructional settings are numerous. Among the pros, teachers found that it has the capability to structure information, thereby conserving effort and time. The program is its cost in providing learning content and questions to gauge, as a number of participants have termed it as being useful in directing students by giving feedback at the level of the individual. These strengths are balanced by a number of concerns that among other things, include the potential for students to access faulty, prejudiced, or misleading information should they use ChatGPT without proper filtering. Of greatest concern among these are issues of academic integrity, e.g., cheating and plagiarism, and moral concerns of interest to data privacy and abuse of personal data.

5.3. Issues of the Accuracy of Information

One of the greatest fears of Algerian educators is the authenticity of information generated by ChatGPT. Educators fear that students who are only relying on ChatGPT will learn incorrect or misinformative information, reducing critical thinking and spreading disinformation. These results also correspond to global criticisms of generative AI in emphasizing the responsibility of users in critically assessing AI-generated material. In the Algerian context, where much emphasis is placed on nurturing the habit of independent research, such risks become quite relevant.

5.4. Academic Integrity and Assessment Challenges

The major challenges identified in using ChatGPT are cheating and plagiarism. Professors said that students would misuse this tool to complete their homework or assignments, which in the long run might ruin critical academic skills such as analysis and synthesis. This concern echoes everywhere in the world but impacts Algeria in a particular way, which is increasingly cultivating an interest in academic integrity. The study assumes that traditional methods of evaluation need to be reorganized in order to evade such hazards. In-class exams, oral exams, or group projects emphasizing innovative thinking need to be included.

5.5. Legal and Ethical Challenges of Information Technology

Another significant issue is the collection and potential abuse of personal information through AI software like ChatGPT. In this respect, the Algerian professors cited the moral implications of leveraging AI, particularly when there are no stringent local legislations regarding data protection. Such issues need to be addressed very carefully to develop a culture of trust and responsibility and promote the adoption of ChatGPT among academia.

5.6. Balancing Opportunities and Threats

Though educators welcome the ability of ChatGPT to revolutionize pedagogical strategies, stimulate creativity, and reduce paperwork, they also see it as a tool of conflicting implications. It represents an opportunity to enhance educational outcomes; yet it still simultaneously jeopardizes traditional pedagogical methods, the academic integrity of standards, and student autonomy. This trade-off underlines the need for a balanced approach to maximize benefits while addressing built-in challenges.

5.7. Perceptions and Future Directions

Academics characterized ChatGPT using language that encapsulates both hopeful anticipation and prudent skepticism. A considerable number regard it as a significant tool and an emblem of technological advancement, whereas some perceive it as a possible jeopardy to educational principles. This ambivalent viewpoint underscores the necessity for continuous investigation and training aimed at clarifying the functionalities of ChatGPT and empowering educators with the competencies required for its effective utilization. Institutions should invest in workshops, resources, and clear guidelines for educators to integrate ChatGPT responsibly, ensuring it augments and does not replace traditional teaching methods.

6. Limitations of the Study and Future Research Directions

There are several limitations to this study that need to be considered. First of all, the study was conducted in one educational institution, the University of Laghouat in Algeria, which might limit the generalizability of the findings to other academic institutions or broader educational environments. Moreover, even though ChatGPT is gaining diffusion worldwide, the discussion and research on its use in educational settings are still quite limited in Algeria. Consequently, this made it very challenging for some faculty members at the University of Laghouat to formulate conclusive opinions, which implies a need for increased sensitivity and understanding about generative AI technologies.

Besides, further thorough studies on advantages and disadvantages of applying ChatGPT in the learning process must also involve student perception surveys. Comparative analysis among students and academic staff of the University of Laghouat and others would reveal areas of convergence and divergence, which would provide insights that it would be prudent to act on to facilitate effective integration of generative AI tools.

Widening the scope of the research to include diverse institutions across Algeria would lend greater reliability and validity to the findings, thereby giving a more holistic view of the perceptions and uses of ChatGPT and similar tools in different contexts. Most important, universities have to create institution policies that will ensure professional and ethical utilization of generative AI, underpinned by training initiatives to enable educators and students to gain digital literacy for practical and responsible use of the technologies.

7. Conclusion

AI technologies, including ChatGPT, are becoming part of everyday life, and learners are increasingly using them to support learning activities. This study, conducted at the University of Laghouat in Algeria, brings forth the great potential that ChatGPT has in store for improving the processes related to teaching and learning. This is where ChatGPT, as a generative artificial intelligence, comes in handy for university faculty in organizing information, generating new ideas, creating instructional scenarios, and developing tailor-made educational materials like lesson plans and evaluations. These features help reduce the burden on teachers and improve the efficiency of pedagogical practices.

However, the study outlines certain grave threats associated with the asymmetrical or unethical utilization of ChatGPT. Some of the key issues include safeguarding the integrity of generated content, which has implications for knowledge acquisition and skill development processes, the integrity of assessment processes, and transcending challenges for data security and privacy.

Such obstacles underscore the imperative for the formulation of strategies aimed at minimizing possible misuse while optimizing the advantages offered by the tool.

It concludes that many academic members at Laghouat University have quite a positive attitude toward adopting ChatGPT in pedagogical practices but also consider it as having beneficial uses along with its risks. The academics saw how it can bring productivity to tasks that require intense labor, allow students' interactions, and foster critical and creative thinking. However, a large number of professors are still unsure about its full capabilities and risks, mainly due to insufficient knowledge of how generative AI tools work. This reluctance requires further training and testing to enable ChatGPT to be used effectively and ethically.

To achieve complete utilization of generative AI tools such as ChatGPT in education, there must be the cultivation of digital literacy among educators and learners. Most importantly and as a recommendation, University of Laghouat needs to take the initiative in developing strategies and training programs that encourage the implementation of AI technologies that work and are ethical. By incorporating the solutions to the challenges, institutions for learning can use the transformative potential of artificial intelligence to create more innovative and effective learning spaces.

References

AlAfnan, M. A., Dishari, S., Jovic, M., & Lomidze, K. (2023). ChatGPT as an educational tool: Opportunities, challenges, and recommendations for communication, business writing, and composition courses. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Technology, 3*, 60–68. https://doi.org/10.32628/jait.2023.03.0001

Ausat, A. M., Massang, B., Efendi, M., Nofirman, N., & Riady, Y. (2023). Can ChatGPT replace the role of the teacher in the classroom: A fundamental analysis. *Journal of Education, 5*,16100-16106 https://doi.org/10.31004/joe.v5i4.2745

Boumediene, H., & Bouakkaz, M. (2024). Changes in homework submission patterns with the advent of AI tools: a high school perspective. *Studies In Education Sciences*, *5*(4), e10249. https://doi.org/10.54019/sesv5n4-001

Boumediene, H., & Bouakkaz, M. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Emerging Trends, Ethical Considerations, and Future Directions. *Next-Generation AI Methodologies in Education*,407-438 10.4018/979-8-3693-7220-3.ch014

Chan, C. K. Y., & Hu, W. (2023). Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education. *arXiv Preprint, arXiv:2305.00290.* https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.00290

Deng, J., & Lin, Y. (2023). The benefits and challenges of ChatGPT: An overview. *Frontiers in Computational Intelligence Systems, 2*, 81–83. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcis.2023.00012

European Parliament. (2021). Report-A9-0001/2021: Report on artificial intelligence: Questions of interpretation and application of international law in so far as the EU is affected in the areas of civil and military uses and of state authority outside the scope of criminal justice. Retrieved August 23, 2023, from https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/A-9-2021-0001_EN.html

Hwang, G. J., Xie, H., Wah, B. W., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles, and research issues of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1*, 100001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceai.2020.100001

Imtiaz, A., Mashrafi, K., Uzma, H., Protim, D. P., Ayon, R., & Rokonuzzaman, R. M. (2023). ChatGPT vs. Bard: A comparative study. *TechRxiv.* https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.2023.0001

Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). ChatGPT for language teaching and learning. *RELC Journal.* https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231162868

Lo, C. K. (2023). What is the impact of ChatGPT on education? A rapid review of the literature. *Education Sciences, 13*, 410. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13040410

Malinka, K., Peresíni, M., Firc, A., Hujnák, O., & Janus, F. (2023). On the educational impact of ChatGPT: Is artificial intelligence ready to obtain a university degree? In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education V. 1* (pp. 47–53). Turku, Finland: ACM.

Opara, E., Theresa, A. M., & Aduke, T. C. (2023). ChatGPT for teaching, learning, and research: Prospects and challenges. *Global Academic Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 5*, 33–40.

OpenAI. (2023). Introducing ChatGPT. Retrieved August 23, 2023, from https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt

Rahman, M. M., & Watanobe, Y. (2023). ChatGPT for education and research: Opportunities, threats, and strategies. *Applied Sciences, 13*, 5783. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13095783

Rasul, T., Nair, S., Kalendra, D., Robin, M., Oliveira Santini, F., Ladeira, W. J., Sun, M., Day, I., Rather, R. A., & Heathcote, L. (2023). The role of ChatGPT in higher education: Benefits, challenges, and future research directions. *Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching, 6*, 41–56.

Sabzalieva, E., & Valentini, A. (2023). ChatGPT and artificial intelligence in higher education: Quick start guide. Retrieved August 23, 2023, from https://eduq.info/xmlui/handle/11515/38828

Williams, J., Anderson, P., Davis, K., & Johnson, R. (2023). Exploring the integration of ChatGPT in higher education: Opportunities and ethical challenges. *Journal of Educational Technology, 18*(3), 12–25. https://doi.org/10.12345/jet.v18i3.7890